arXiv:1608.03584v1 [math.PR] 11 Aug 2016

LADYZHENSKAYA'S THEORY REVISITED AND APPLICATION TO FBSDES WITH JUMPS

RUI SÁ PEREIRA AND EVELINA SHAMAROVA

Abstract. The main result of this work is a rigorous extension of Ladyzhenskaya's theory for quasilinear parabolic PDEs to a certain class of functional PDEs which includes quasilinear parabolic partial integro-differential equations (PIDEs). The extended theory gives an existence and uniqueness result for a Cauchy problem for quasilinear PIDEs which is our main tool for construction of a solution to FBSDEs driven by a Brownian motion and a compensated Poisson random measure. We give another application of the extended theory which is the fractal Burgers equation.

1. Introduction

We establish an existence and uniqueness result for the initial boundary-value problem for the following functional PDE, referred to below as fPDE:

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(s,x,u,u'_x)u''_{x_ix_j} + a(s,x,u,u'_x) - I(s,x,u) + u'_s = 0, \\ u|_{[0,T]\times\partial F} = \psi(s,x),\ u|_{\{0\}\times F} = \psi(0,x), \quad x\in\mathbf{R}^n,\ s\in[0,T]. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Here $F\subset\mathbf{R}^n$ is either a bounded domain or the entire space $\mathbf{R}^n$. In the latter case we deal with the Cauchy problem without a boundary condition. The coefficients a_{ij} and a are $\mathbf{R}^n$-valued functions defined in appropriate spaces, and I is a map $\mathrm{C}([0,T],\mathbf{R}^n)\to\mathrm{C}([0,T],\mathbf{R}^n)$, $u\mapsto I(s,x,u)$.

Our main application is an existence and uniqueness result for fully coupled FBSDEs with jumps. For the purpose we consider the situation when the map I is given by the following integral

$$I(s,x,u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(s,x+\psi(s,x,u(s,x),q))\,\nu(dq), \tag{2}$$

where ν is a Lévy measure on $\mathbf{R}^k$. The fPDE with I given by (2) becomes a PIDE, and the solution to the PIDE is used to construct the solution $(X_t,Y_t,Z_t,\tilde{Z}(t,u))$ to the following fully coupled FBSDEs driven by a Brownian motion and a compensated Poisson random measure

$$\begin{cases} X_t = x + \int_0^t f(s,X_s,Y_s,Z_s,\tilde{Z}(s,u))\,ds + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma^i(s,X_s,Y_s)\,dB^i_s \\ \quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi(s,X_{s-},Y_{s-},u)\,\tilde{N}(ds,du) \\ Y_t = h(X_T) + \int_t^T g(s,X_s,Y_s,Z_s,(Z^c)_s)\,ds + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_t^T (Z^i_s)\,dB^i_s \\ \quad + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \tilde{Z}(s,u)\,\tilde{N}(ds,du), \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

as well as to prove its uniqueness. The solution $(X_s,Y_s,Z_s,\tilde{Z}(s,\cdot))$ to (3) is understood as an $\mathbf{R}^n\times\mathbf{R}^m\times\mathbf{R}^{m\times d}\times\mathcal{L}^\nu_2(\mathbf{R}^k)$-valued quadruplet of square integrable stochastic processes adapted with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ generated by the Brownian motion B_t and the compensated Poisson random measure $\tilde{N}(t,U)$, $U\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^k)$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^k)$ is the Borel σ-algebra of subsets of $\mathbf{R}^k$. Moreover, the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ is assumed to be augmented with the zero sets. The functions f, σ, h, and g are of appropriate dimensions defined in appropriate spaces. BSDEs and FBSDEs with jumps, in particular of type (3), were studied by several authors and usually by means of one of three methods: the method of continuation developed by Hu and Peng the contraction mapping method introduced by Delarue [1] on a short time interval, and the four step scheme obtained by Ma et al [3]. The first two methods are purely probabilistic. Peng's method uses a certain monotonicity assumption on the FBSDEs coefficients, which is not fulfilled in many cases, while the contraction mapping

method works only on a short time interval. Although the four step scheme of Ma et al. is valid on a time interval of an arbitrary length and for a large class of FBSDEs coefficients, it relies heavily on Ladyzhenskaya's theory [4]. Indeed, the existence and uniqueness of a solution for a Cauchy problem for second-order quasilinear parabolic PDEs is the most important result for the four scheme to work.

The four step scheme, in particular, provides the much studied link between FBSDEs and quasilinear parabolic PDEs.

Nevertheless, if the stochastic integral with respect to a compensated Poisson random measure is present in the FBSDEs, the associated PDE becomes a PIDE, and Ladyzhenskaya's results are not applicable anymore. The four step scheme is therefore limited to FBSDEs driven by a Brownian motion.

Our aim is to extend Ladyzhenskaya's theory to fPDEs of type (1), and apply it to FBSDEs driven by a Brownian motion and a compensated Poisson random measure. The presence of the functional term I redirect us to [4], which was written as a monograph based on some technical research papers, requires a substantial effort to work through. Moreover, this term needs to be taken into account in all a priori estimates needed for the Leray-Schauder theorem.

The organization of the article is as follows: In Section 2 we prove the existence and uniqueness theorem for problem (1). It is divided into two major subsections: the one dimensional fPDE and systems of fPDEs. In subsection 2.1, we deal with the existence and uniqueness of a classical solution to boundary and Cauchy problems for one-dimensional fPDEs, and subsection 2.2 is devoted to systems of fPDEs. In subsection 2.1, we, in particular, obtain maximum-principle type estimates that we use to prove the uniqueness. To prove the existence we apply the Leray-Schauder theorem that provides a method to obtain a continuum of solutions of the equation $\psi(\tau, x) = x$, where ψ is a function in a Banach space depending on a parameter τ.

Although a major part of this section is an adaptation of the proofs of [4] to the case of problem (1), it is very frequently when it requires a delicate analysis. As such, the most technical are a priori bounds required by the Leray-Schauder theorem and the existence theorem. Some results, such as the uniqueness of solution for systems of fPDEs, do not allow the use of the same scheme as in [4], and had to be proved in a different way.

In Section 3 we apply our result on fPDEs to obtaining an existence and uniqueness theorem for FBSDEs. by using a well-known link between FBSDEs and PIDEs obtained for viscosity solutions.

2. Multidimensional functional PDEs

We consider two separate cases, first the case where the solutions are one-dimensional, and second the more general case where we consider systems of equations for which the solutions are vectors. Some of the results for the one-dimensional case can be easily transported to the multidimensional case, and so we devote considerable effort in giving the most comprehensive construction possible when $n = 1$.

Throughout this paper, all constants are real and F denotes a bounded domain of the euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$ with closure homeomorphic to a unit ball or cube (see page 9 of [4] for more topological considerations). For a given $T > 0$, we let B denote the boundary of F, and define $B_T = [0, T] \times B$, $F_T = (0, T) \times F$, and $\Gamma_T = (\{t = 0\} \times F) \cup B_T$. Unless otherwise stated, u is a real-valued function defined in F_T. Finally, $\Psi(s, x)$ is a real-valued function in F_T, while ψ_0 and ψ are functions in F. For simplicity of notation we will use the notation $u_{x_i x_j}$ and u_{x_i} and omit the symbols $'$ and $''$ for clarity.

2.1. The case $n = 1$.

In this section,

We consider 3 types of fPDEs:

1. Linear.

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(s,x)u_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(s,x)u_{x_i} + a(s,x)u - I(s,x,u) + u_s = f(s,x),$$

where I is a linear operator in u.

2. Quasi Linear in general form.

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)u_{x_jx_j} + a(s,x,u,u_x) - I(s,x,u) + u_s = 0$$

3. Quasi Linear with principal part in divergence form.

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_i}(s,x,u,u_x) + a(s,x,u,u_x) - I(s,x,u) + u_s = 0$$

Above, principal terms $a_{ij}(s,x), a_{ij}(s,x,u), a_i(s,x,u,u_x)$, minor terms $a(s,x), a(s,x,u,p)$ and the free term $f(s,x)$ are real-valued functions defined over the appropriate spaces while the coefficient $a_i(s,x,u,p)$ is differentiable with respect to x_i with its derivative given by

$$\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_i}[a_i(s,x,u,u_x)] = \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_i}(s,x,u,u_x) + \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u}(s,x,u,u_x)u_{x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u_{x_i}}(s,x,u,u_x)u_{x_ix_j}.$$

2.1.1. *The linear case.* The need to obtain estimates for solutions of linear equations is not exhausted by the existence of similar estimates for quasi-linear equations. In this section we obtain estimates for solutions depending only on known parameters leading to uniqueness of solutions for both boundary and Cauchy linear problems. In this section, we will make use of the following set of assumptions.

(A1) The functions $a_{ij}(s,x), a_i(s,x)$, and $a(s,x)$ are bounded.

(A2) For any $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, for all $s \in [0,T]$ and $x \in \overline{F}$, it holds that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(s,x)\xi_i\xi_j \geqslant 0.$$

(A3) $I(s,x,v)$ is a linear operator with respect to v and there exists a constant $K \geqslant 0$ such that for all $(s,x,v) \in [0,T] \times \mathbf{R} \times C^{1,2}(\overline{F}_T)$,

$$|I(s,x,v)| \leqslant K \max_{F_T} |v|.$$

We generalise a well-known result for the case where a linear functional operator is added to a PDE.

Proposition 2.1. *(Maximum-Minimum principle for linear equations)*
Assume (A1)-(A3) holds. Let $u(x,t)$ be a classical solution of boundary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t,x)u_{x_ix_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(t,x)u_{x_i} + a(t,x)u - I(s,x,u) + u_t = f(t,x), \\ u|_{\Gamma_T} = \psi|_{\Gamma_T}. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Then for any $s \in [0,T]$,

$$\sup_{\lambda > a_0 + K} e^{\lambda s} \min\left[\min_{\Gamma_s}(\Psi(t,x)e^{-\lambda t}); \min_{F_s} e^{-t\lambda}\frac{f}{\lambda - K - a_0}; 0\right]$$
$$\leq u(s,x) \leq \inf_{\lambda > a_0 + K} e^{\lambda s} \max\left[0; \max_{\Gamma_s}(\Psi(t,x)e^{-\lambda t}); \min_{F_s} e^{-t\lambda}\frac{f}{\lambda - K - a_0}\right],$$

where $\Psi|_{\{t=0\}\times F} = \psi_0$, $\Psi|_{B_s} = \psi$, and $a_0 = -\max_{F_s} a(t,x)$.

Proof. We adapt the argument of Theorem 2.1 in chapter I (page 13) of [4] Define the function v implicitly by $u = ve^{\lambda t}$. It can be seen that v satisfies the identity

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(s,x)v_{x_ix_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(s,x)v_{x_i} + a(s,x)v - I(s,x,v) + \lambda v + v_s = fe^{-\lambda t}. \tag{5}$$

Now, for $s \in (0,T)$ one of the following three mutually exclusive conditions, which together will be named *Maximum Principle Auxiliary* (MPA), holds:

1) $\max_{F_s} v(t,x) \leq 0$.

2) $0 < \max_{F_s} v(t,x) \leq \max_{\Gamma_s} v(t,x)$.
3) There exists $(s_0, x_0) \in (0,s] \times F$ so that $v(s_0,x_0) \geq \max_{F_s} v(t,x)$.

If 3) holds, one has $v_{x_i} = 0$ and $v_t \geq 0$ in (s_0,x_0). Additionally, $-\sum a_{ij} v_{x_i x_j} \geq 0$. Indeed, by virtue of (A2) we can write the identity $-\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(s_0,x_0) v_{x_i x_j} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n -\lambda_k v_{y_k y_k}$, where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues (all nonnegative) of the characteristic matrix of the positive semi-definite quadratic form $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j$, associated to the eigenvectors $y_1, \ldots, y_n$. Moreover, v attains a maximum in (s_0,x_0) and thus has negative second derivative in all directions. Hence, $v_{y_k y_k} < 0$ and thus $-\sum a_{ij} v_{x_i x_j} = -\sum \lambda_k v_{y_k y_k} \geq 0$. From (5) evaluated in (s_0,x_0) we obtain the inequality $a(s_0,x_0)v - I(s_0,x_0,v) + \lambda v \leq e^{-\lambda s_0} f$, and since $v(s_0,x_0) \geq 0$, (A3) implies $v(s_0,x_0) \leq e^{-\lambda s_0} f/(a - K + \lambda)$.

Hence, $v(s,x) \leq \max(0; \max_{\Gamma_s} v(t,x); \max_{F_s} e^{-\lambda s_0} f/(a-K+\lambda))$, or more generally

$$u(s,x) \leq \max\left(0; \max_{\Gamma_s} e^{\lambda(s-t)} u(t,x); \max_{F_s} \frac{e^{\lambda(s-t)} f}{a - K + \lambda}\right).$$

Finally, we can define three analogous conditions for $\min v(t,x)$, and obtain the left estimate applying a similar reasoning to what was done above. □

Proposition 2.2. *Under the conditions of Prop 2.1, boundary linear problem (4) cannot have more than one classical solution.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{u} = u' - u''$, where u' and u'' are two solutions of the boundary problem (4). We can substitute in (4) by u' and u'', subtract one equation from the other, and obtain a linear equation satisfied by $\tilde{u}$, to which we can apply directly Proposition 2.1. In this particular case $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{f} = 0$, and so $\tilde{u} = 0$. □

We now give analogous estimates for the solution of Cauchy linear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x) u_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t,x) u_{x_i} + a(t,x)u - I(s,x,u) + u_t = f(t,x), \\ u(0,x) = \psi_0(x) \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Theorem 2.3. *Assume (A1)-(A3) hold, and that there exists a constant $a_0 \geqslant 0$ so that $a(s,x) > -a_0 + K$, where the constant K is given by (A3). Furthermore, assume that u is bounded and continuous in the strip $\Pi_T = \{(s,x) : 0 \leq s \leq T, |x| < \infty\}$, and that it is a solution to (6). Then, the following a priori estimate holds:*

$$\max_{\Pi_T} |u(s,x)| \leq \Big(\max_{\boldsymbol{R}^n} |u(0,x)| + s(\max_{\Pi_T} |f| + KM) \Big) e^{a_0 s}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Define the operator

$$\mathcal{L}u := -\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(s,x) u_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(s,x) u_{x_i} + a(s,x)u - I(s,x,u),$$

and consider the function used to prove (2.23) on page 18 of [4],

$$w(s,x) := e^{-s(a_0+\varepsilon)} u(s,x) - c_1 - c_2 s - \frac{M}{R^2}(|x|^2 + c_3 s),$$

where $c_1 = \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} |u(0,x)|$, $c_2 = \max_{\Pi_T} |f| + KM$, and ε, c_3 are arbitrary positive numbers.

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L} + a_0 + \varepsilon) w = e^{-s(a_0+\varepsilon)}[f(s,x) + I(s,x,u)] - c_2(1 + (a_0 + a + \varepsilon)s) \\ - \frac{M}{R^2}(c_3 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} + 2a_i x_i + (a_0 + a + \varepsilon)(x^2 + c_3 s)) - c_1(a_0 + a + \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

and denote the right-hand by $f'(s,x)$. By (A3) one has in $Q_T(R) = \{|x| \leq R, 0 \leq s \leq T\}$,

$$(\mathcal{L} + a_0 + \varepsilon)w \leq e^{-s(a_0+\varepsilon)}[f(s,x) + KM] - c_1(a_0 + a + \varepsilon)$$
$$- c_2(1 + (a_0 + a + \varepsilon)s) - \frac{M}{R^2}\Big(c_3 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii} + 2a_i x_i + (a_0 + a + \varepsilon)(x^2 + c_3 s)\Big).$$

For a given ε, we may choose c_3 large enough so that the expression inside parenthesis in the second line is positive, and obtain the inequality

$$f'(s,x) \leq e^{-s(a_0+\varepsilon)}(f(s,x) + KM - c_2),$$

whose right-hand term is non-positive by the definition of c_2. Furthermore, over the lower base and the lateral surface of the cylinder $Q_T(R)$ w is non-positive. As such, we can use Proposition 2.1 to conclude that w is non-positive over the entire cylinder. Hence, $e^{-s(a_0+\varepsilon)}u(s,x) \leq c_1 + c_2 s + \frac{M}{R^2}(x^2 + c_3 s)$, and taking limits in R and ε,

$$u(s,x) \leq (c_1 + c_2 s)e^{sa_0}.$$

To obtain an estimate from below we define for arbitrary c_3 and R,

$$w'(s,x) = e^{-s(a_0+\varepsilon)}u(s,x) + c_1 + c_2 s + \frac{M}{R^2}(x^2 + c_3 s),$$

and apply the reasoning above to w' to conclude that w' is non-negative through the cylinder $Q_T(R)$ and thus

$$u(s,x) \geq -(c_1 + c_2 s)e^{sa_0}.$$

□

Proposition 2.4. *Under the conditions of Proposition 2.1, Cauchy linear problem (6) cannot have more than one classical solution.*

Proof. As in the proof in Proposition 2.2, we may assume u' and u'' both satisfy (6), subtract one equation to the other, and obtain a linear equation satisfied by $\tilde{u}$. We can apply Proposition 2.3 to $\tilde{u}$ and since estimate (7) is the same for both u' and u'' conclude that $\tilde{u} = 0$. □

2.1.2. *Uniqueness of solutions of quasi-linear fPDEs.* Similarly to the linear case, we introduce a set of assumptions that we will use throughout this section.

(B1) The functions $a_{ij}(s,x,u,p), a_i(s,x,u,p)$, and $a(s,x,u,p)$ are bounded.

(B2) For any $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in F$ and $u \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(s,x,u,0)\xi_i\xi_j \geqslant 0.$$

(B3) There exist non-negative constants b_1, b_2 such that for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in F$ and $u \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$a(s,x,u,0).u \geq -b_1 - b_2 u^2.$$

(B4) There exists a constant $K \geqslant 0$ such that for all $(s,x,v) \in [0,T] \times \mathbf{R} \times C^{1,2}(F_T)$,

$$|I(s,x,v)| \leqslant K \max_{F_T} |v|.$$

(B5) There exists functions ν, μ defined in $\mathbf{R}_0^+$, with μ non-decreasing, μ non-increasing, such that for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in \overline{F}$, $u \in \mathbf{R}$, and $p \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$\nu(|u|)\xi^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t,u,p)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \mu(|u|)\xi^2.$$

(B6) There exists a function $P(x,y)$ defined for $x,y \geq 0$, continuous and converging to zero when $|x|$ tends to infinity, and a non-negative function ε continuous and monotonically increasing such that for for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in \overline{F}$ and $u,p \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$|a(s,x,u,p)| \leq (\varepsilon(|u|) + P(|p|,|u|))(1+|p|)^2.$$

Proposition 2.5. *(Maximum principle for quasi-linear equations)*
Assume (B1)-(B4) holds. Let u be a classical solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)u_{x_ix_j} + a(s,x,u,u_x) - I(s,x,u) + u_s = 0. \\ u|_{\Gamma_T} = \psi|_{\Gamma_T}. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Then, for any $s \in [0,T]$ the following apriori estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\lambda > K+b_2} e^{\lambda s} \min\left[\min_{\Gamma_s}(\Psi(t,x)e^{-\lambda t}); \min_{F_s} e^{-t\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{b_1}{\lambda - b_2 - K}}; 0\right] \\ \leq u(s,x) \\ \leq \inf_{\lambda > K+b_2} e^{\lambda s} \max\left[0; \max_{\Gamma_s}(\Psi(t,x)e^{-\lambda t}); \max_{F_s} e^{-t\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{b_1}{\lambda - b_2 - K}}\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi|_{\{t=0\}\times F} = \psi_0$ and $\Psi|_{B_s} = \psi(s,t)$.

Proof. Let $u = ve^{\lambda t}$. It can be seen that the function v satisfies:

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)v_{x_ix_j} + e^{-\lambda t}a(s,x,u,u_x) - e^{-\lambda t}I(s,x,u) + \lambda v + v_s = 0. \tag{9}$$

Now, we take an arbitrary $s \in (0,T)$ and recall MPA from proposition Proposition 2.1.

Likewise, If the third condition from MPA holds, we have $v_{x_t} = 0, v_t \geq 0$, and by virtue of (B2), with a similar justification as in Proposition 2.1, $-a(s_0,x_0,u,u_x)v_{x_ix_j} \geq 0$. Analogously, from (9) evaluated in (s_0,x_0) we obtain $e^{-\lambda s_0}(a(s_0,x_0,u,0) - I(s_0,x_0,u)) + \lambda v \leq 0$. Multiplying by $u(s_0,x_0)$, positive, together with (B3) and (B4), we obtain

$$e^{-\lambda s_0}(-b_1 - b_2 u(s_0,x_0)^2 - Ku(s_0,x_0)^2) + \lambda v(s_0,x_0)u(s_0,x_0) \leq 0.$$

The way v is defined , the following holds, $v(s_0,x_0) \leq e^{-s_0\lambda}\sqrt{b_1/(\lambda - b_2 - K)}$.

Hence, $v(s,x) \leq \max(0; \max_{\Gamma_s} v(t,x); \max_{F_s} e^{-s_0\lambda}\sqrt{b_1/(\lambda - b_2 - K)})$, and finally

$$u(s,x) \leq e^{\lambda s}\max\left(0; \max_{\Gamma_s}(u(t,x)e^{-\lambda t}); \max_{F_s} e^{-t\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{b_1}{\lambda - b_2 - K}}\right).$$

We can proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 to obtain the left limit. □

Theorem 2.6. *Assume (B1)-(B4). Let the functions $a_{ij}(s,x,u,p), a(s,x,u,p)$, their partial derivatives with respect to u and p and the Fréchet derivative of $I(s,x,v)$ with respect to v be bounded. Then, there is at maximum one solution to boundary problem (8).*

Proof. We omit the summation signs both with respect to i and j. Let u' and u'' be two solutions of (8) and define $u = u' - u.''$ Since $F(x'') - F(x') = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda}F(\lambda x'' + (1-\lambda)x')\,d\lambda$ for a differentiable function or Fréchet differentiable functional operator F and $\frac{d}{d\lambda}(\lambda f' + (1-\lambda)f') = f' - f''$ we can write the identity

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = u_t - u''_{x_ix_j}\int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda}a_{ij}(s,x,\lambda u' + (1-\lambda)u'', \lambda u'_x + (1-\lambda)u''_x)\,d\lambda \\ - a_{ij}(s,x,u',u'_x)u_{x_ix_j} + \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda}a(s,x,\lambda u' + (1-\lambda)u'', \lambda u'_x + (1-\lambda)u''_x)\,d\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

which can be re-written as the linear equation

$$u_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_{ij}(x,t)u_{x_ix_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{b}_i(x,t)u_{x_i} + (\tilde{c}(x,t) - d(s,x))u = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{a}_{ij}(s,x) = a_{ij}(s,x,u',u'_x), \\ \tilde{b}_k(s,x) = -\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} u''_{x_ix_j} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_{ij}(s,x,u^\lambda,u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^\lambda_{x_k}}\, d\lambda + \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a(s,x,u^\lambda,u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^\lambda_{x_k}}\, d\lambda, \\ \tilde{c}(x,t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} u''_{x_ix_j} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_{ij}(s,x,u^\lambda,u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^\lambda}\, d\lambda + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a(x,t,u^\lambda,u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^\lambda}\, d\lambda, \\ d(s,x) = \int_0^1 (D_{u^\lambda} I)(u^\lambda)(x,t)\, d\lambda, \end{cases}$$

$u^\lambda = \lambda u' + (1-\lambda)u''$, and $(D_{u^\lambda} I)$ is the Fréchet derivative of the functional operator I with respect to u^λ. It is straightforward to see that (A1)-(A3) hold for this linear equation, and so an application Proposition 2.1 gives $u = 0$. □

2.1.3. *A priori estimates of solutions to fPDEs.* Denote by $O^{1,2}(\overline{F}_T)$ the space of continuous functions u in F_T for which u_x, u_{xx}, and u_t exist and are bounded, and u_x is continuous. Introduce also $O^1(\overline{F})$, the space of continuous functions u in $\overline{F}$ for which u_x exists and is bounded. Let

$$\begin{cases} ||u||^0_{2,F_T} := ||u||_{2,F_T} + ||u_x||_{2,F_T} + ||u_{xx}||_{2,F_T} + ||u_t||_{2,F_T}, \\ ||u||^0_{F_T} := \max_{F_T} |u| + \max_{F_T} |u_x| + \max_{F_T} |u_{xx}| + \max_{F_T} |u_t|, \end{cases}$$

where $||v||_{2,F_T} = \sqrt{\int_0^T \int_F v(s,x)^2\, dxds}$. We denote by $W_2^{1,2}(F_T)$ denote the Banach space of elements v of the space $L_2(F_T)$ having $||v||_{2,F_T} < \infty$, and $C_b^{1,2}(F_T)$ the sub-space of $C^{1,2}(F_T)$ whose elements w have $||u||^0_{F_T} < \infty$.

We are interested in obtaining a priori estimates, i.e. estimates that hold for any solution depending only on known parameters, and we will do so in three steps. First, we obtain an a priori estimate of $\max_{B_T} |u_x|$. Second, we give an estimate of the l_2 norms of u_{xx} and u_t. Lastly, from $\max_{B_T} |u_x|$ we offer an estimate of $\max_{F_T} |u_x|$ via an application of the maximum principle to a fPDE for which u_x is a solution. We start with an extension of lemma 3.1 in chapter VI (page 535) [4] to the present case.

Lemma 2.7. *Assume (B1)-(B4) hold. Let the following conditions hold:*

- *a)* $u|_{\Gamma_T} = \psi(x,t)|_{\Gamma_T}$ *where* $\psi(x,0) \in O^1(\overline{F}), \psi \in O^{1,2}(B_T)$.
- *b) For* $(s,x,u,p) \in [0,T] \times F \times \boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R}^n$ $\nu(|u|)\xi^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(s,x,u,p)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \mu_0(|u|)\xi^2$, *and* $|a(s,x,u,p)| \leq \mu(|u|)(1+|p|)^2$, *where* μ, μ_0 *are positive non-decreasing continuous and* μ *is positive non-increasing continuous.*

Let u *be a solution of quasi-linear fPDE (8). Then there exists an estimate for* $\max_{B_T} |u_x|$ *depending only on* $M := \max_{F_T} |u|, \max_F |\psi_x(0,x)|$ *and the constants* $\mu(M), \nu(M), K$, *where* K *is given by (B3).*

Proof. Since the case where u doesn't vanish in B_T holds by a straightforward translation argument applied to the case where $u|_{B_T} = 0$. For clarity, we will omit the summation signs with respect to i and j.

Let ϕ be a two times differentiable function, and define v implicitly by $u = \phi(v)$. One has $u_t = \phi' v_t, u_{x_i} = \phi' v_{x_i}$, and $u_{x_ix_j} = \phi'' v_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \phi' v_{x_ix_j}$. Define the operator

$$\mathcal{L}(u,w) = v_t - a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)w_{x_ix_j} - \frac{\phi''(w)}{\phi'(w)} a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)w_{x_i}w_{x_j} + \frac{a(s,x,u,u_x)}{\phi'(w)}.$$

One has $\mathcal{L}(u,v)=\frac{I(s,x,u)}{\phi'}$, since u is a solution of (8). Now, for $\phi'>0$ and $\phi''<0$, one has

$$v_t - a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)v_{x_ix_j} = \frac{\phi''}{\phi'}a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)v_{x_i}v_{x_j} - \frac{1}{\phi'}a(s,x,u,u_x) + \frac{I(s,x,u)}{\phi'}$$
$$\leq \frac{\phi''}{\phi'}\nu(M)v_x^2 + \frac{1}{\phi'}\mu(M)(1+|u_x|)^2 + \frac{KM}{\phi'} \leq \frac{\phi''}{\phi'}\nu(M)v_x^2 + \frac{1}{\phi'}(\mu(M)+KM)\cdot$$
$$(1+|u_x|)^2 \leq \Big[\Big(\frac{\phi''}{\phi'}\nu(M) + 2(\mu(M)+K)\phi'\Big)v_x^2 + 2\frac{(\mu(M)+KM)}{\phi'}\Big](1+|u_x|)^2, \tag{11}$$

where we used the conditions in condition b) in the first inequality. Let $\hat{\nu}$ and $\hat{\mu}$ denote respectively $\nu(M)$ and $\mu(M)+KM$. Take for an arbitrary w, $\phi(w)=\hat{\nu}\log(1+w)/2\mu$, so that $v=-1+e^{2\hat{\mu}u/\hat{\nu}}$. Since $\phi'>0, \phi''<0$, $\phi(0)=0$, and the term multiplying by v_x^2 in the rightmost hand of (11) is nonpositive, one deduces the inequality

$$\Big(v_t - \sum a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)v_{x_ix_j}\Big)(1+|u_x|)^{-2} \leq \frac{2\hat{\mu}}{\phi'} = \frac{4\hat{\mu}^2}{\hat{\nu}}(1+v) \leq c,$$

where $c=\frac{4\hat{\mu}^2}{\hat{\nu}}e^{\frac{4M\hat{\mu}^2}{\hat{\nu}}}$.

If $t=0$, one has M_0 depending on $\max_F|\psi_x(0,x)|$ such that,

$$\max_F|v_x(x,0)| \leq \frac{2\hat{\mu}M_0}{\hat{\nu}}e^{\frac{2\max u(0,x)\hat{\mu}}{\hat{\nu}}} \leq \frac{2\hat{\mu}M_0}{\hat{\nu}}e^{\frac{2M\hat{\mu}}{\hat{\nu}}} := c_0.$$

If $t>0$, let d denote the diameter of F, select $\lambda \geq c_1e^d$ and define the function $v'(x,t)=v(x,t)+\lambda e^{-x_n}$. Make a change of coordinates from $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ to $y_1,\ldots,y_n$ such that B is defined in the new coordinates by $y_n=0$ and F is entirely on one of the sides of this plan. Similar assumptions to those in the statement of this lemma are valid for $y_1,\ldots,y_n$. Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that B lies in the plan $x_n=0$ and that the whole domain is contained in the half space $x_n\geq 0$. Since $v|_{B_T}=0$, we obtain $\max_{\Gamma_T}(v+\lambda e^{-x_n}) \leq (v+\lambda e^{-x_n})|_{x\in B}=\lambda$. We now show the function v' in $\overline{F}_T$ is maximized in B_T. But since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}v'(x,0)|_{x\in F} \leq c_0-\lambda e^{-d}\leq 0$, one has $\max_{x\in F}v'(x,0)\leq \max_{x\in B}v'(x,0)\leq\lambda$, and so we are left to prove the maximum of the function in F_T is not larger than its maximum on $\{t=0\}\times F$.

For that purpose, we will show $\frac{\partial v'}{\partial t}<0$. Select $\lambda=e^d\max\{c_0,\frac{2c}{\hat{\nu}}\}$ and observe that

$$v_t - a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)v'_{x_ix_j} \leq (1+|u_x|)^2c - \lambda a_{nn}e^{-x_n} \leq (1+|u_x|)^2(c-\lambda\hat{\nu}e^{-d}) < 0. \tag{12}$$

From (12) it follows that $\frac{\partial v'}{\partial t} - \sum a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)v'_{x_ix_j}<0$, which with the help of condition b) gives the chain of inequalities $\frac{\partial v'}{\partial t} < a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)v'_{x_ix_j} < \hat{\mu}M^2 - \lambda a_{nn}e^{-x_n} \leq \hat{\mu}M^2 - \lambda\hat{\nu}e^{-x_n}$.

From the reasoning above, one concludes $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}(v+\lambda e^{-x_n})|_{x\in B}\leq 0$, and so $\max_{B\times[0,T]}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_n}\leq\lambda$, where we put $\lambda=e^{d+\frac{2\mu M}{\nu}}\max\{\frac{2\mu M_0}{\nu},\frac{8\mu^2}{\nu^2}\}$. From here we obtain the above estimate

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} = \phi'(v)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_n} = \frac{\hat{\nu}}{2\hat{\mu}}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_n} \leq \frac{\hat{\nu}\lambda}{2\hat{\mu}}.$$

In order to obtain the estimate from below we apply the above reasoning to the solution -$u(s,x)$ of the equation

$$(-u)_t - a_{ij}(s,x,u,u_x)(-u)_{x_ix_j} - a(s,x,u,u_x) = I(s,x,-u).$$

If $u|_{B_T}=\psi(s,x)$, we can define the function $\tilde{u}(s,x)=u(s,x)-\psi(s,x)$, and apply the above reasoning to the function $\tilde{u}$, since $\tilde{u}|_{B_T}=0$. □

Now, as we will need to estimate $|u_t|$ on an arbitrary subdomain F' of F, we can strengthen our conditions and get the following theorem, similar to Thm 5.1 on page 444 of [4]. We give results for equations of type 3. Assumptions B will then be replaced by:

(C1) The functions $a_i(s,x,u,p)$ and $a(s,x,u,p)$ are bounded.

(C2) There exist non-negative constants μ and ν such that for all $(x,s,u,p) \in \overline{F} \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$\nu\xi^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a_i(x,s,u,p)}{\partial p_j}\xi_i\xi_j \leq \mu\xi^2.$$

(C3) There exists a non-negative non-decreasing function μ_1 such that for all $(x,s,u,p) \in \overline{F} \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \big(|a_i| + \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u}\big)(1+|p|) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Big|\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_j}\Big| + |a| \leq \mu_1(|u|)(1+|p|)^2.$$

(C4) There exists a constant $K \geqslant 0$ such that for all $(s,x,v) \in [0,T] \times \mathbf{R} \times C^{1,2}(F_T)$,

$$|I(s,x,v)| \leqslant K \max_{F_T} |v|.$$

We now estimate $||u_{xx}, u_t||_{2,F_T}$, and $\max_{F_T} |u_x|$, in a similar fashion to Theorem 4.1 on chapter V of [4]. Henceforth, we will use the following formula of integration by parts for a domain G :

$$\int_G f g_{x_i}\, dx = -\int_G g f_{x_i}\, dx + \int_{\delta G} \cos(n, x_i) f g\, dx, \tag{13}$$

where n is the outward unit normal to the boundary δG.

Definition 2.8. *We say that a function $\xi(t,x)$ is a cutting function if it is continuous in $\overline{F}_T$, has piecewise continous first-order bounded derivatives, is contained in $[0,1]$, and vanishes in Γ_T.*

Proposition 2.9. *Assume (C2). Assume also that for $u \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and $p \in \boldsymbol{R}^n$*

$$\begin{cases} |a_i(s,x,u,p)| + \left|\frac{\partial a_i(s,x,u,p)}{\partial u}\right| \leq \mu|p| + \phi_1(s,x), \left|\frac{\partial a_i(s,x,u,p)}{\partial x_j}\right| \leq \mu|p|^2 + \phi_2(s,x), \\ |a(s,x,u,p)| \leq \mu|p|^2 + \phi_3(s,x), \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

with $||\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3||_{2,F_T} \leq \mu_1$, and μ, μ_1 nonnegative constants. Let now u be a $O^{(1,2)}(\overline{F}_T)$ solution to (26), such that $u|_{B_T} = \psi$, with $\psi \in O^{1,2}(\overline{F}_T)$.

Then, it is possible to estimate $\max_{F_T} |u_x|$ and $||u_t, u_{xx}||_{L_2(F_T)}$ from above by constants depending only in $T, \max_{\Gamma_T} |u_x|$, $\mu, \nu, \mu_1, \max_{F_T} |\psi, \psi_t, \psi_x, \psi_{xx}|, mesF$ and K.

Proof.

We will divide this proof into three steps :

Step 1) We estimate for $||u_x||^2_{L_2(F_T)}$.

Step 2) We estimate $||u_{xx}||^2_{L_2(F'_T)}$ and $||u_t||^2_{L_2(F'_T)}$, where F' is a domain strictly interior to F.

Step 3) We estimate $||u_{xx}, u_t||^2_{L_2(F_T)}$.

1) Assume $u|_{B_T} = 0$, and define for $v \in O^{1,2}$:

$$\mathcal{L}v := v_t - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(s,x,v,v_x) + a(s,x,v,v_x).$$

During this proof we will make use of a double integration by parts, i.e, we first integrate by parts with respect to x and then to t. We will integrate variations of the following identity introduced by the authors of [4] (Chapter III, pp. 212)

$$\int_0^t \int_F \mathcal{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big(|u_x|^{2s} u_{x_i} \xi^2\Big)\, dxds = 0,$$

where ξ is a cutting function.

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}u = I(s,x,u)$ if u solves (26). We can multiply both sides of this identity by any function $w \in O^{1,2}$, and integrate it to obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_F \Big(\mathcal{L}u - I(s,x,u)w\Big)\, dxds = 0.$$

In particular, one has

$$\int_0^T \int_F \mathcal{L}u(e^{\lambda u}-1) - I(s,x,u)(e^{\lambda u}-1)\, dxds = 0. \tag{15}$$

Since $\int_0^t \int_F u_t(e^{\lambda u}-1)\, dsdx = \int_F \frac{1}{\lambda}(e^{\lambda u} - u\lambda)\, dx\Big|_0^t$, an integration by parts yields

$$\int_0^t \int_F \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_i}(e^{\lambda u}-1)\, dsdx = -\int_0^t \int_F \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda a_i u_{x_i} e^{\lambda u}\, dsdx + \int_{B_T} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda a_i (e^{\lambda u}-1)\, dsdx.$$

As $e^{\lambda u} = 1$ in B_T, identity (15) assumes the form

$$\int_F \frac{1}{\lambda}(e^{\lambda u(t,x)} - \lambda u(t,x))\, dx + \int_0^t \int_F \Big[\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_{x_i} e^{\lambda u} + a(e^{\lambda u}-1) - I(s,x,u)(e^{\lambda u}-1)\Big]\, dsdx = 0.$$

By virtue of the assumptions in the first condition of (C2) and the first condition of (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(s,x,u,p)p_i &= \int_0^1 p_i p_j \frac{\partial a_i(s,x,u,\tau p)}{\partial \tau p}|d\tau + p_i a_i(s,x,u,0) \geq -\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i|\phi_1(s,x) \\ &+ \nu p^2 \geq \nu p^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \Big(\frac{|p_i|^2\nu}{2} + \frac{\phi_1^2(s,x)}{2\nu}\Big) = \nu p^2 - \frac{\nu}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n |p_i|^2 - \frac{n\phi_1^2(s,x)}{2\nu} \\ &= \frac{\nu p^2}{2} - \frac{n\phi_1^2(s,x)}{2\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy inequality in the third line.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_F \frac{\lambda\nu}{2} u_x^2(e^{\lambda u}-1)\, dsdx &\leq + \int_F \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(e^{\lambda u(t,x)} + \lambda|u(t,x)|\Big)\, dx \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_F \Big[e^{\lambda u}\frac{\lambda n}{2\nu}\phi_1^2 + [(\mu u_x^2 + \phi_3) + I](e^{\lambda u}-1)\Big]\, dsdx \end{aligned}$$

which can be re-written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_F \Big(\frac{\lambda\nu}{2} - \mu\Big) u_x^2(e^{\lambda u}-1)\, dsdx &\leq \int_F \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(e^{\lambda u(t,x)} + \lambda|u(t,x)|\Big)\, dx \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_F \Big[e^{\lambda u}\frac{\lambda n}{2\nu}\phi_1^2 + (\phi_3 + I(s,x,u))(e^{\lambda u}-1)\Big]\, dsdx. \end{aligned}$$

This chain of inequalities allow us to write from (15) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_F \frac{\lambda\nu}{2} u_x^2(e^{\lambda u}-1)\, dsdx &\leq + \int_F \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(e^{\lambda u(t,x)} + \lambda|u(t,x)|\Big)\, dx \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_F \Big[e^{\lambda u}\frac{\lambda n}{2\nu}\phi_1^2 + [(\mu u_x^2 + \phi_3) + I](e^{\lambda u}-1)\Big]\, dsdx \end{aligned}$$

which can be re-written in the form

$$\int_0^T \int_F \Big(\frac{\lambda\nu}{2} - \mu\Big) u_x^2 (e^{\lambda u} - 1)\, dsdx \le \int_F \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(e^{\lambda u(t,x)} + \lambda |u(t,x)|\Big)\, dx$$
$$+ \int_0^T \int_F \left[e^{\lambda u} \frac{\lambda n}{2\nu} \phi_1^2 + (\phi_3 + I(s,x,u))(e^{\lambda u} - 1)\right] dsdx$$

Taking $\lambda = \frac{4\mu}{\nu}$, and noticing that $||\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3||_{2,2} \le \mu_1$, we can find a constant c depending only on $n, T, mes(F), M, \lambda, \nu, \mu, \mu_1$ and K such that

$$\int_0^T \int_F u_x^2\, dsdx \le c. \tag{16}$$

2) Let $\max |u_x||_{S_T} = M_2$. Define

$$b(s,x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \le |u_x|^2 \le \hat{M}_2^2 := \hat{M} \\ |u_x|^2 - \hat{M} & \text{if } \hat{M} \le |u_x|^2 \le \hat{M} + 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } |u_x|^2 \ge \hat{M} + 1. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

Let $F_{2\rho}$ be the intersection of F with the open ball $K_{2\rho}$ centered in B with radius 2ρ not exceeding a certain number ρ_0, and let ξ be a cutting function of $K_{2\rho}$. Since

$$-\int_0^T \int_{F_{2\rho}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{L}u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(u_{x_k} b\xi^2) - I(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(u_{x_k} b\xi^2)\right] dxds = 0, \tag{18}$$

one can define the function $v = u_x^2$ and transform the integral of the u_t term in (18) into the form

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} v_t b\xi^2\, dxds = \frac{1}{2}\int_{F_{2\rho}} \Big(vb - \frac{b^2}{2} - \hat{M}b\Big)\xi^2\, dx\Big|_0^t.$$

We can integrate by parts with respect to x_i the principal term in (18) and obtain

$$\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(s,x,u,u_x) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(u_{x_k} b\xi^2)\, dxds$$
$$= -\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(s,x,u,u_x) \sum_{k,i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i x_k}(u_{x_k} b\xi^2)\, dxds$$
$$+ \int_0^t \int_{B_{F_{2\rho}}} \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_i(s,x,u,u_x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(u_{x_k} b\xi^2)\, dxds$$
$$= -\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(s,x,u,u_x) \sum_{k,i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k x_j}(u_{x_k} b\xi^2)\, dxds.$$

We once more integrate by parts, with respect to x_k, and transform the last integral in the form

$$\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} a_i(s,x,u,u_x) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u_{x_k} b\xi^2)\, dxds$$
$$- \int_0^t \int_{B_{F_{2\rho}}} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(s,x,u,u_x) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u_{x_k} b\xi^2)\, dxds.$$

and the second term of the last sum is zero, since b or ξ appear as factors on it and both vanish in $B_{F_{2\rho}}$. Proceeding in a similar manner with the minor term and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(19)\quad &\frac{1}{2}\int_{F_{2\rho}}\Big(vb-\frac{b^2}{2}-\hat{M}\Big)\xi^2\,dx\Big|_0^t\\ &+\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\Big[\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n}\Big(\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_k}+\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u}u_{x_k}+\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u_{x_j}}u_{x_jx_k}\Big)(u_{x_kx_i}b\xi^2+u_{x_k}b_{x_i}\xi^2+2u_{x_k}b\xi\xi_{x_i})\\ &\qquad+(a-I)(u_{x_kx_k}b\xi^2+u_{x_k}b_{x_k}\xi^2+2u_{u_x}b\xi\xi_{x_k})\Big]\,dxds=0.\end{aligned}$$

Once again, we omit without further notice the summation signs. To estimate each of these integrals, we introduce $\varepsilon>0$ and by assumption (14) obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_k}(u_{x_kx_i}b\xi^2+u_{x_k}b_{x_i}\xi^2+2u_{x_k}b\xi\xi_{x_i})\,dxds\\ &\le\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\Big[\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\phi_2^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}b^2\xi^4u_{xx}^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}u_x^4b\xi^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mu^2bu_{xx}^2\xi^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\phi_2^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}b_x^2\xi^4u_x^2\\ &+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}u_x^4b_x\xi^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mu^2b_xu_x^2\xi^2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\phi_2^2+\varepsilon u_x^2\xi^2\xi_x^2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}u_x^4b\xi^2+\varepsilon\mu^2b\xi_x^2u_x^2\Big]dxds.\end{aligned}$$

For a,b,ε real numbers with positive ε, from $ab\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}a^2+4\varepsilon b^2$ one can select ε' small enough such that $ab\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon'}a^2$. As such there exists a positive constant c for which

$$(20)\quad \int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_k}(u_{x_kx_i}b\xi^2+u_{x_k}b_{x_i}\xi^2+2u_{x_k}b\xi\xi_{x_i})\,dxds\le c\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\phi_2^2+u_x^4b\xi^2\ +b\xi_x^2u_x^2\,dxds.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u}u_{x_k}(u_{x_k}b\xi^2+u_{x_k}b_{x_i}\xi^2+2u_{x_k}b\xi\xi_{x_i})\,dxds\\ &\le\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\Big[\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\phi_1^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}b^2\xi^4u_x^2+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}u_x^4b\xi^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mu^2bu_x^2\xi^2\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\phi_1^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}b_x^2\xi^4u_x^2\\ &+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}u_x^4b_x\xi^2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mu^2b_xu_x^2\xi^2\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\phi_1^2u_x^2b^2\xi^2+\varepsilon\xi_x^2u_x^2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}u_x^4b\xi^2+\varepsilon\mu^2b\xi_x^2u_x^2\Big]dxds\end{aligned}$$

and thus can find c' nonnegative constant such that

$$\begin{aligned}(21)\quad &\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u}u_{x_k}(u_{x_k}b\xi^2+u_{x_k}b_{x_i}\xi^2+2u_{x_k}b\xi\xi_{x_i})\,dxds\\ &\qquad\le c'\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\phi_1^2+\phi_1^2u_x^2b^2\xi^2+u_x^4b\xi^2\ +b\xi_x^2u_x^2dxds.\end{aligned}$$

With the help of (C2), we can bound below the integral of the sum of the first and second summands of $\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\Big(\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u_{x_j}}u_{x_jx_k}(u_{x_kx_i}b\xi^2+u_{x_k}b_{x_i}\xi^2+2u_{x_k}\xi\xi_{x_i}b)\Big)\,dxds$ as

$$(22)\quad \int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\Big(\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u_{x_j}}\Big(u_{x_jx_k}u_{x_kx_i}b\xi^2+\frac{1}{2}b_{x_j}b_{x_i}\xi^2\Big)\Big)\ \ge\ \nu\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\Big(u_{xx}^2b+\frac{1}{2}b_x^2\Big)\xi^2\ dxds$$

while for the remaining integral we have

$$(23)\qquad \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u_{x_j}}u_{x_jx_k}u_{x_k}b\xi\xi_{x_i}\,dxds\le c''\int_0^t\int_{F_{2\rho}}vb\xi_x^2+\xi^2b^2u_x^2\,dxds.$$

Finally, by the same reasoning, and observing that $|a(s,x,u,p) - I(u)| \leq \mu p^2 + \phi_3(x,t) + KM$, there exists a positive c''' depending on K such that

$$\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} (a-I)(u_{x_k x_k} b\xi^2 + u_{x_k} b_{x_k} \xi^2 + 2u_{u_x} b\xi\xi_{x_k})\, dxds$$
$$\leq c''' \int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \phi_3^2 + \phi_3^2 u_x^2 b^2 \xi^2 + u_x^4 b\xi^2 \ + b\xi_x^2 u_x^2 \, dxds$$

If we subtract the sum of the left sides of (20) (21), (23), (24) to the sum of the first integral of (19) with the left side of (22) we obtain, changing the constant c if needed,

$$-c\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} (vb\xi_x^2 + \xi^2 b^2 u_x^2)\, dxds \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{F_{2\rho}} vb\xi^2\, dx\Big|^t$$
$$+\nu\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \Big(u_{xx}^2 b + \frac{1}{2}b_x^2\Big)\xi^2\, dxds \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{F_{2\rho}} vb\xi^2\, dx\Big|^0 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{F_{2\rho}} \Big(\frac{b^2}{2} + \hat{M}b\Big)\xi^2 dx\Big|_0^t$$
$$+c\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \phi_i^2\, dxds + c\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} vb\xi_x^2\, dxds + c\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \phi_1^2 u_x^2 b^2 \xi^2\, dxds$$
$$+c\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} v^2 b\xi^2\, dxds.$$

From the estimate (16), it is clear that the first four integrals of the right-side can be bounded constants depending only on the constants in the statement of the Theorem. Since $||\phi_1||_{L_2(F_T)} \leq \mu_1$ we can apply equation (3.7) in chapter II of [4] to $\phi_1^2 u_x^2 b^2 \xi^2$ and obtain a positive constant c_1 such that

$$\max_{s\in[0,T]} \int_{F_{2\rho}} vb\xi^2\, dxds\Big|^t + \nu\int_0^T \int_{F_{2\rho}} \Big(u_{xx}^2 b + \frac{1}{2}b_x^2\Big)\xi^2\, dxds + \int_0^T \int_{F_{2\rho}} v^2 b\xi^2\, dxds \leq D,$$

analogously we can estimate the integral involving $v^2 b\xi^2$ as

$$\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} v^2 b\xi^2\, dxds = \int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} u_x^2 vb\xi^2\, dxds$$
$$= -\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} u(\Delta u b\xi^2 + 2u_{x_k} u_{x_k} u_{x_i x_k} b\xi^2 + u_{x_k} v b_{x_k} \xi^2 + 2u_{x_k} vb\xi\xi_{x_k})\, dxds$$
$$\leq c_1\rho\int_0^t \int_{F_{2\rho}} \Big(u_{xx}^2 b\xi^2 + \frac{1}{2}b_x^2\xi^2 \ + v^2 b\xi^2\Big)\, dxds + c_1.$$

We can choose ρ such that

$$\max_{s\in[0,T]} \int_{F_{2\rho}} vb\xi^2\, dxds\Big|^t$$
$$+\nu\int_0^T \int_{F_{2\rho}} \Big(u_{xx}^2 b + \frac{1}{2}b_x^2\Big)\xi^2\, dxds + \int_0^T \int_{F_{2\rho}} v^2 b\xi^2\, dxds \leq D,$$

with D a constant. The above three integrals are positive and we can produce analogous estimate for the case where $K_{2\rho} \subsetneq F$ where c_2 is a constant such that

$$\max_{s\in[0,T]} \int_F u_x(s,x)^2 dx + \int_{F_T} u_x^4\, dsdx \leq c_2.$$

The same reasoning can be applied to conclude that exists a constant c_2 depending only on $M, M_2, \mu, \nu, \mu_1, n, K, ||u_x(x,0)||_{L^2}$ such that

$$\int_0^T \int_{F'} (u_{xx}^2 + u_t^2)\, dsdx \leq c_2. \tag{24}$$

3) Let $B_1 \subset B$, and K_ρ a ball centered in B_1 that does not intersect B/B_T. Assume that we already did a change of coordinates given by $x_n = 0$. Contrary to the interior estimates,

the boundary integrals that appear in the integrations by parts $\int_0^t \int_{B_1} a_i[u_{x_kx_k}\cos(n,x_i) - u_{x_kx_i}\cos(n,x_k)]\xi^2\, dsdt$ and $\int_0^t \int_{B_1} a_i u_{x_k}[2\xi\xi_{x_k}\cos(n,x_i) - 2\xi\xi_{x_i}\cos(n,x_k)]\xi^2\, dsdt$ are not zero. We can estimate the second integral in terms of M_2 and known constants, and write the first integral as

$$-\int_0^t \int_{B_1} (2b_i\xi\xi_{x_i} + b_{i_{x_i}}\xi^2)\, dxds,$$

where $b_i(x,s,u,p) = \int_0^{p_n} a_i(s,x,u,p_1,\cdots,p_{n-1},r)\, dr$. With this, we conclude that there exists c_3 only dependent on the constants given in the statement of the theorem such that

$$\int_0^T \int_F (u_{xx}^2 + u_t^2)\, dxds \le c_3.$$

□

The last theorem gives an estimate of $\max_{F_T}|u_x|$ in terms of the unknown quantity $\max_{\Gamma_T}|u_x|$. The latter estimate however can be estimated by Proposition 2.7 in terms of known quantities.

Proposition 2.10. *Assume (C1) and (C4). Furthermore, suppose that in* $\overline{Q}_T \times \{(u,p) : |u| \le M, |p| \le M_1\}$, *where* M *and* M_1 *are respectively the a priori estimates of* $\max_{F_T}|u|$ *and* $\max_{F_T}|u_x|$, *the functions* $a_i(s,x,u,p)$ *and* $a(s,x,u,p)$ *satisfy a Lipschitz condition in* s, *are differentiable with respect to* u *and* p, *and*

$$\left|\frac{\partial a_i(s,x,u,p)}{\partial u}, \frac{a_i(s+h,x,u,p) - a_i(s,x,u,p)}{h}, \frac{\partial a}{\partial p}, \frac{\partial a}{\partial u}, \frac{a(s+h,x,u,p) - a(s,x,u,p)}{h}, \frac{\partial a}{\partial p}, D_u I, \frac{I(s+h,x,u) - I(s,x,u)}{h}\right| \le \phi(s,x) \tag{25}$$

where $||\phi||_{L_2(F_T)} \le \mu_0$, *and* $h \in [0, T-s]$. *Suppose that a solution* $u(s,x)$ *of*

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_i}(s,x,u,u_x) + a(s,x,u,u_x) - I(s,x,u) + u_s = 0, \tag{26}$$

is of class $C^{1,2}$.

Then $\max_{F_T}|u_s|$ *is estimated from above by a constant depending only on* n, ν, μ, μ_0 *and* $\max_{\Gamma_T}|u_s|$. *Moreover, if* Γ' *is contained in* Γ, *then* $\max_{F'}|u_s|$, *where* F *is a part of the cylinder* F_T' *that does not intersect* Γ_T/Γ', *is estimated by a constant that depends on* $n, \nu, \mu, \mu_0, K, \max_{\Gamma'}|u_s|$ *and the distance from* F' *to* Γ_T/Γ'.

Proof. Define the first-difference function in the cylinder F_{T-h}

$$v^h(s,x) = \frac{1}{\Delta s}[u(s+\Delta s,x) - u(s,x)], \Delta s = h > 0,$$

Take the divided difference in s of both sides of (26) and write

$$\frac{\Delta a_i}{\Delta s} = \frac{1}{\Delta s}[a_i(s+\Delta s,x,u(s+\Delta s,x),u_x(s+\Delta s)) - a_i(s+\Delta s,x,u(s+\Delta s,x),u_x(s+\Delta s))]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Delta s}\int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} a_i\Big(s+\Delta s,x,\tau u(s+\Delta s,x)+(1-\tau)u(s,x),\tau u_x(s+\Delta s,x)+(1-\tau)u_x(s,x)\Big)d\tau$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\Delta s}[a_i(s+\Delta s,x,u(s,x),u_x(s,x)) - a_i(s,x,u(s,x),u_x(s,x))].$$

We can proceed in an analogous way with a and I and write, similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.6,

$$\frac{\partial v^h}{\partial s} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} v_{x_j}^h + b_i v^h + f\Big] + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_{x_i}^h + (e+d)v^h + g = 0,$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}
a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_i(s,x,u^\lambda,u_x^\lambda)}{\partial x_j}\, d\lambda, \\
b_i = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_i(s,x,u^\lambda,u_x^\lambda)}{\partial u^\lambda}\, d\lambda, \\
c_i = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a(s,x,u^\lambda,u_x^\lambda)}{\partial x_i}\, d\lambda, \\
d = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a(s,x,u^\lambda,u_x^\lambda)}{\partial u^\lambda}\, d\lambda, \\
e = \int_0^1 D_{u^\lambda} I(s,x,u^\lambda)\, d\lambda, \\
f = \frac{1}{\Delta s}[a_i(s+\Delta s,x,u(s,x),u_x(s,x)) - a_i(s,x,u(s,x),u_x(s,x))], \\
g = \frac{1}{\Delta s}[a(s+\Delta s,x,u(s,x),u_x(s,x)) - a(s,x,u(s,x),u_x(s,x))].
\end{cases} \tag{27}
$$

Condition (25) implies that $a_{ij}, b, b_i, c_i, d, e, f$ and g are bounded and so we can apply Proposition 2.1 to obtain:

$$\max_{F_{T-h}} |v^h| \le c \max\{\max_{\Gamma_{T-h}} |v^h|; 1\},$$

where the constant c depends only on n, ν, μ_1. As such we can pass to the limit and obtain an estimate for u_t as desired. □

We have finally found conditions under which there exists a constant c only depending on known parameters given such that

$$\|u, u_t, u_x, u_{xx}\|^2_{2,F_T} < c.$$

We are now ready to state and make use of Leray-Schauder Theorem, an important result from abstract analysis where we will base the proof of existence of solutions to fPDEs. For a proof, see [2].

Theorem 2.11. *(Leray-Schauder) Consider for a given Banach Space X and a function*

$$\Psi : [0,1] \times X \to X,$$

the equation

$$x - \Psi(\tau, x) = 0. \tag{28}$$

Assume the following conditions:

a) *For each $\tau \in [0,1]$, $\Psi(\tau, x)$ is continuous and takes bounded sets into compact sets.*
b) *$\Psi(\tau, x)$ is uniformly continuous in τ.*
c) *For a given τ_0, all the solutions of (28) are known and $x - \Psi(\tau_0, x)$ is invertible in a neighborhood of a fixed point x (This is, by page 63 of [2] , sufficient to establish H2 of Theorem 1 of that same paper).*
d) *The set $\{(\tau, v) : \Psi(\tau, v) = v\}$ is bounded.*

Then there exists a continuum of solutions in $[0,1] \times X$ of equation (28) under which τ takes all values in $[0,1]$.

We state the existence theorem for quasi-linear equations with principal part in divergence form of type (26).

Theorem 2.12. *Consider the problem in the bounded cylinder F_T*

$$
\begin{cases}
-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(s,x,u,u_x) + a(s,x,u,u_x) - I(s,x,u) + u_s = 0, \\
u(0,x)|_{x\in F} = \psi(0,x)|_{x\in F}, \\
u|_{B_T} = \psi|_{B_T},
\end{cases} \tag{29}
$$

and define

$$A(t,x,w,w_x) \;=\; -\frac{\partial a_i(t,x,w,w_x)}{\partial x_i} \;-\; \frac{\partial a_i(t,x,w,w_x)}{\partial w} w_{x_i} \;+\; a(t,x,w,w_x) \;-\; I(s,x,w).$$

Assume for a given u defined in $\overline{F}_T$ the following two conditions hold:

$$A(s,x,u,0)u \le -b_1 - b_2.u^2, \; \frac{\partial a_i(s,x,u,p)}{\partial p_j} \xi_i \xi_j|_{p=0} \ge 0, \tag{30}$$

where K, b_1, b_2 are given as in B2.

Let M and M_1 be given by Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.9 . Assume for $1 \leq i \leq n$ the following:

i) *On the set $\overline{F}_T \times \{u : |u| \leq M\}$ the functions $a_i(s,x,u,p)$ and $a(s,x,u,p)$ are continuous, $a_i(s,x,u,p)$ is differentiable with respect to x, u and p, and C2 holds.*

ii) *On the set $\overline{F}_T \times \{(u,p) : |u| \leq M, |p| \leq M_1\}$ the functions $a_i(s,x,u,p)$, $a(s,x,u,p)$, and all the spatial derivatives of first order of $a_i(s,x,u,p)$ are bounded, and the inequality (25) holds for $a(s,x,u,p)$ and $a_i(s,x,u,p)$.*

iii) *For x in B, $\psi(t,x) \in C_b^{1,2}(\overline{F_T})$ and satisfies the first order compatibility condition:*

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(0,x,\psi,\psi_x) + a(0,x,\psi,\psi_x) - I(0,x,\psi) + \psi_s|_{\{s=0\}} = 0. \tag{31}$$

iiii) *For any $v \in C_b^{1,2}$ the functional $I(s,x,v)$ has bounded Frechet derivative with respect to v.*

Then there exists a unique solution of Initial Value Problem (29) in $C_b^{1,2}(\overline{F_T})$.

Proof.

We will divide the proof of this theorem into three steps:

Step 1) Define an operator $\phi(\tau, x)$ whose fixed points when $\tau = 1$ are solutions of (29).

Step 2) Establish a), b), c), d) of Theorem 2.11 for ϕ defined in 1), and conclude that ϕ has at least one fixed point for $\tau = 1$.

Step 3) Prove uniqueness.

1) Define for $u \in C^{1,2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(t,x,u,u_x) &:= -\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_i}(t,x,u,u_x) + a(t,x,u,u_x) - I(t,x,u) + u_t \\ &= u_t - \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_j}(t,x,u,u_x)u_{x_i x_j} + A(t,x,u,u_x),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(t,x,w,w_x) \;=\; -\frac{\partial a_i(t,x,w,w_x)}{\partial x_i} \;-\; \frac{\partial a_i(t,x,w,w_x)}{\partial w} w_{x_i} \;+\; a(t,x,w,w_x) \;-\; I(t,x,w),$$

and consider for $\tau \in [0,1]$ the problem,

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \left(\frac{\partial a_i(t,x,w,w_x)}{\partial w_{x_j}} + (1-\tau)\delta_i^j\right) v_{x_i x_j} + \tau A(t,x,w,w_x) - (1-\tau)(\psi_t - \psi_x) = 0, \\ v|_{\Gamma_T} = \psi|_{\Gamma_T}. \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

If we fix w, this is a linear problem that can be solved for v. We can then define $\phi(\tau, w) = v$, and observe that its fixed points $u^\tau = \phi(\tau, u^\tau)$ for $\tau = 1$ solve (29).

2) We prove a), b), c), and d) from the Leray Schauder Principle hold for ϕ:

d)

Clearly, u is a fixed point of $\phi(\tau, w)$ iff is a solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\tau u := \tau\mathcal{L}u + (1-\tau)(u_t - \psi_t + \psi_x - u_x) = u_t + \tau(a(t,x,u,u_x) - I(t,x,u)) \\ -(1-\tau)(\psi_t - \psi_x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big(\tau a_i(t,x,u,u_x) - (1-\tau)u_{x_j}\Big) = 0, \\ u|_{\Gamma_T} = \psi_{\Gamma_T}. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

If we prove the uniform boundness of any such solution v^τ and of its derivatives v_x^τ, v_{xx}^τ, and v_t^τ, we establish d).

First, we can use the boundness of ψ given by iii) to extend the estimates given for solutions of (29) in Prop 2.5 to similar estimates of solutions u^τ of (33) and find a positive constant M depending only on b_1, b_2, K, τ and $\max_{\Gamma_T} |\psi|$ such that

$$\max_{F_T} |u^\tau| \leq M.$$

Likewise, if C2 holds for coefficients of (29), similar conditions to C2 also hold for the coefficients of $\mathcal{L}_\tau$. Thus, since conditions C2 are a particular case of (14) in the statement of Proposition 2.9, we can use it to find a constant M_1 depending only on $\tau, T, \max_{B_T} |u_x^\tau|$, $\mu(M), \nu(M), \max_F |\psi_x(0,x)|$ and K such that

$$||u_x^\tau, u_{xx}^\tau||_{2,F_T} \leq M_1.$$

Finally, we can use ii) and via conditions (25) find M_2 only depending on n, ν, μ_0 and $\max_{\Gamma_T} |u_s^\tau|$ such that for $|u^\tau| \leq M, |u_{xx}^\tau| \leq M_1$ and $||u_s^\tau||_{2,F_T} \leq M_2$. Thus, d) is holds.

Statements a) and b) can be proved as in page 454 of [4].

c) Given the boundary function ψ of the original problem, the unique solution v of $\phi(0,v) - v = 0$

$$\begin{cases} v|_{\Gamma_T} = \psi|_{\Gamma_T}, \\ v_t - \psi_t + \psi_x - v_x = 0, \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

is clearly ψ. Thus equation (32) has a unique fixed point when $\tau = 0$, and since $\phi(0,v) - v = \psi - v$ is clearly bijective, c) holds.

Hence, $\phi(1,v)$ has at least one fixed point u in $C_b^{(1,2)}(\overline{F_T})$ which is the solution to (29).

3) The uniqueness is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.6.

□

2.1.4. *The Cauchy Problem.* We are interested in solutions in the unbounded cylinder $R_T := [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$. We state the theorem and leave the proof to the next section where we prove without lack of generality a multidimensional version of it for a more particular type of equations.

Theorem 2.13. *Let* $\max_{\boldsymbol{R}^n} |\psi(0,x)| < \infty$.

a) *For* $t \in (0,T]$ *and any* x, u, p *the conditions*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t,u,p)\xi_i\xi_j \geq 0,\ A(0,t,u,p).u \geq -b_1u^2 - b_2, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij}(x,t,u,p) := \frac{\partial a_i(x,t,u,p)}{\partial p_j}, \\ A(x,t,u,p) := a(x,t,u,p) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial u} p_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_i}, \end{cases}$$

hold. Assume also that for any bounded sub-cilynder of R_T *conditions i) and ii) from Theorem 2.12 hold. Then the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_i^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(s,x,u,u_x)u_{x_i} + a(s,x,u,u_x) - I(s,x,u) + u_s = 0, \\ u(0,x) = \psi_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

has at least one solution in $C_b^{1,2}(R_T)$.

b) *If condition a) holds and the derivatives of* $a_{ij}(s,x,u,p)$ *and* $A(s,x,u,p)$ *with respect to* u *and* p *are uniformly bounded, then the* $C_b^{1,2}$ *solution of the Cauchy problem (36) is unique.*

2.2. **Systems of fPDEs.** In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a classical solution to problems for systems of fPDEs. The Leray-Schauder Theorem grants an extension of Theorem 2.12 to systems of fPDEs without major difficulties. Although the proof of existence is quite similar to the one-dimensional case, the verification of the assumptions of the Theorem is not an easy extension of the one-dimensional case. The main difficulties arises in obtaining a priori bounds for the solution and, especially for its derivatives.

The main difficulties in obtaining the a priori bounds the solution, which [4] offered for second. Indeed, we believe the authors didn't have in mind any particular application of their results, and so the construction of the theory doesn't offer insight on how to gather together

the necessary results to study different type of equations. As such, a rigorous extension of Ladyženskaja theory on second-order PDEs to fPDEs is not trivial, since the presence of a functional operator has to be taken in account in every apriori estimate needed for the application of the Leray-Schauder Theorem. We believe we are the first ones to examine Ladyženskaja's results in light of modern developments in Stochastic Analysis.

We are interested in studying problems of type In this section, we consider fPDEs of the type

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i,j}^{n} a_{ij}(s,x,u)u_{x_ix_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(s,x,u,u_x)u_{x_i} + b(s,x,u,u_x) - I(u(s,x)) \\ +u_s = 0, \\ u|_{B_T} = \psi(s,x), \\ u(0,x) = \psi_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Here, $u(t,x) = (u^1(t,x), \ldots, u^m(t,x))$ is an unknown m-dimensional vector of real functions defined in $\overline{F}_T$, $b = (b^1, \ldots, b^m)$ an m-dimensional vector function, a_{ij} and b_i are scalar functions, $I(u) = (I(u^1), \ldots, I(u^m))$, with I a functional defined in previous section, and ψ is an m-vector defined function.

The spaces $C^{1,2}(F_T)$, $C_b^{1,2}(F_T)$, and $O^{1,2}(F_T)$ are now substituted respectively $C^{1,2}(F_T, \mathbf{R}^m)$, $C_b^{1,2}(F_T, \mathbf{R}^m)$, and $O^{1,2}(F_T, \mathbf{R}^m)$ respectively and a vector-valued function u will be said to be an element of these spaces if all of its coordinate functions are members of the corresponding one-dimensional space. We will sometimes abridge the notation and use only $C^{1,2}, C_b^{1,2}$, or $O^{1,2}$ when the underlying space under consideration is clear. In all the spaces, the product of two vector-valued functions u, v is given by $uv = \sum_{l=1}^{m} u^l v^l$ while the norm of u is given by $|u| = \sqrt{u.u}$. Moreover, if v has first order spatial derivatives, we define the norm of its derivative by $|v_x| = \sqrt{\sum_i^n \sum_k^m (v_{x_i}^k)^2}$.

Associated with system (37), we will make use of the following assumptions.

(A'1) For any $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in F$ and $u \in \mathbf{R}^m$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(s,x,u)\xi_i\xi_j \geq 0.$$

(A'2) There exist non-negative constants c_1, c_2 such that for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in F$, $u \in \mathbf{R}^m$, and $p \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} b^k(s,x,u,p).u^k \geq -c_1 - c_2|u|^2. \tag{38}$$

(A'3) There exists a constant $K \geqslant 0$ such that for all $(s,x,v) \in [0,T] \times F \times C^{1,2}(F_T)$,

$$|I(s,x,v)| \leq K \cdot \max_{F_T} |v|.$$

(A'4) There exists functions ν, μ defined in $\mathbf{R}_0^+$, with μ non-decreasing, ν non-increasing, such that for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in \overline{F}$, $u \in \mathbf{R}^m$,

$$\nu(|u|)\xi^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,s,u)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \mu(|u|)\xi^2.$$

(A'5) There exists a non-negative non-decreasing function μ such that for all $(x,s,u,p) \in \overline{F} \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$|b_i(s,x,u,p)| \leq \mu(|u|)(1+|p|),$$

(A'6) There exists a function $P(x,y)$ defined for $x, y \geq 0$, continuous and converging to zero when $|x|$ tends to infinity, and a non-negative function ε continuous and monotonically increasing such that for for all $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in \overline{F}$ and $u \in \mathbf{R}^m, p \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$|b(s,x,u,p)| \leq (\varepsilon(|u|) + P(|p|,|u|))(1+|p|)^2.$$

(A'7) There is a continuous positive non-decreasing function μ such that for all $(x,s,u,p) \in \overline{F} \times [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^n$, and for $1 \le i,j,k \le n, 1 \le l \le m$,

$$\Big|\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial u^l}\Big| \le \mu(|u|).$$

Proposition 2.14. *(Maximum principle for systems of equations) Assume A'1-A'3. Let $u(s,x)$ be a classical solution of problem (37). Then, the following estimate holds:*

$$\max_{F_T} |u(t,x)| \le M,$$

where the M depends only on $c_1, c_2, T, K, \max_{B_T} |\psi(s,x)|$, and $\max_F |\psi_0(x)|$.

Proof. By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 2.5 on the coordinate functions of u. Let $u = ve^{\lambda t}$. It is clear that each coordinate function v^l satisfies the identity

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(s,x,u)v^l_{x_ix_j} + e^{-\lambda t}b^l(s,x,u,u_x) + b_i(s,x,u,u_x)v^l_{x_i} - e^{-\lambda t}I(s,x,u^l) + \lambda v^l + v^l_s = 0. \tag{39}$$

Now, we take an arbitrary $s \in (0,T)$ and $1 \le l \le m$, and observe that MAP holds.

If 3) holds, $v^l_{x_k} = 0, v_t = 0$, and using (38) we can apply the same reasoning as in Proposition 2.5 to show that $-a(s_0,x_0,u)v^l_{x_ix_j} \ge 0$. Moreover, we multiply each resulting coordinate inequality

$$e^{-\lambda s_0}(b^l(s_0,x_0,u,u_{x_1},\dots,0,u_{x_{k+1}},\dots,u_{x_m}) - I(s_0,x_0,u^l)) + \lambda v^l \le 0$$

by $u^l(s_0,x_0)$ and sum with respect to l to arrive, with the help of A'2 to $e^{-\lambda s_0}(-c_1 - c_2|u(s_0,x_0)|^2 - K|u(s_0,x_0)|^2) + \sum_{l=1}^m \lambda v^l(s_0,x_0)u^l(s_0,x_0) \le 0$, and from here

$$|v(s_0,x_0)| \le e^{-s_0\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{c_1}{\lambda - c_2 - K}},$$

and the desired estimate can be obtained as in the one-dimensional case. □

To prove the uniqueness of solutions for systems, we need once again to analyse linear systems of the type

$$u_t - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a_{ij}(s,x)u_{x_j} + A_i(s,x)u) + B_i(s,x)u_{x_i} + A(s,x)u = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} - f \tag{40}$$

where the functions in capital letters are $m \times m$ matrices. We have the following.

Proposition 2.15. *Assume A'1-A'3. Let $u(x,t)$ be a classical solution of problem (40). Assume A_{ij}, A_{i_jk} and B_{ij} are bounded. Then, the following estimate holds*

$$\max_{F_T} |u(t,x)| \le M,$$

where the M depends only on $c_1, c_2, T, K, \max_{B_T} |\psi(s,x)|$, and $\max_F |\psi_0(x)|$.

Proof. First, let l be such that $\max_{F_s} |u| := \max_{i\in\{1,\dots m\}} \max_{F_s} |u^i| = \max_{F_s} |u^l|$. We omit the summation signs with respect to k and j.

From

$$u^l_t - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a_{ij}(s,x)u^l_{x_j} + A^{l,k}_i(s,x)u^k) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B^{l,k}_i(s,x)u^k_{x_i} + A^{l,k}(s,x)u^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f^l_i}{\partial x_i} - f^l,$$

we can put $u = e^{\lambda t}v$ and derive

$$v_t^l + \lambda v^l - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a_{ij}(s,x)v_{x_j}^l + A_i^{l,k}(s,x)v^k) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i^{l,k}(s,x)v_{x_i}^k + A^{l,k}(s,x)v^k = e^{-\lambda t}\Big(\frac{\partial f_i^l}{\partial x_i} - f^l\Big).$$

Since each v^k solves its corresponding one-dimensional equation, we can apply the results of the previous section and find M_k a constant that bounds each of the coordinate-derivatives. Fruthermore, MAP holds for v^l, and so, when the third condition stands, one has in the maximum point (s_0, x_0)

$$A^{l,k}v^k - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}A_i^{l,k}v^k + \lambda v^l - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |B_i^{l,k}|M_k \leq e^{-\lambda s_0}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial {f_i}^l}{\partial x_i} - f^l\Big),$$

which implies, omitting now the summattion signs with respect to i,

$$\Big(\lambda - |A^{l,k}| - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}|A_i^{l,k}|\Big)v^l \leq e^{-\lambda s_0}\Big(\frac{\partial {f_i}^l}{\partial x_i} - f^l\Big) + |B_i^{l,k}|M_k$$

Taking the norms of the matrices, one has

$$v^l(s_0,x_0) \leq \frac{e^{-\lambda s_0}\Big(\frac{\partial {f_i}^l}{\partial x_i} - f^l\Big) + \max_{1\leq k\leq m} M_k||B_i(s_0,x_0)||}{\lambda - ||A(s_0,x_0)|| - ||A_i(s_0,x_0)||},$$

and finally, selecting $\lambda \geq |A(s_0,x_0)| + |A_i(s_0,x_0)|$

$$u^l(s,x) \leq \frac{\max_{F_s} e^{\lambda(s-t)}\Big(\frac{\partial {f_i}^l}{\partial x_i} - f^l\Big) + e^s \max_{1\leq k\leq m} M_k||B_i||(s_0,x_0}{\lambda - ||A(s_0,x_0)|| - ||A_i(s_0,x_0)||.}$$

We can proceed likewise to obtain the left bound. □

Proposition 2.16. *If the coefficients of linear system (40) are bounded, then it admits at maximum one unique solution.*

Assume $u'$and u'' are such two solutions and set $u = u' - u''$. Then, the following identity holds

$$u_t - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a_{ij}(s,x)u_{x_j} + A_i(s,x)u) + B_i(s,x)u_{x_i} + A(s,x)u = 0.$$

An application of Proposition 2.15 gives $u = 0$.

□

The estimates of $\max_{F_T}|u_x|$ and $||u_t, u_{xx}||_{2,F_T}$ are produced in a similar way to the one-dimensional case. We now state and prove a multidimensional version of Proposition 2.9 (We will need however to strengthen the conditions/ add the commentary?).

Proposition 2.17. *Assume $u(x,t)$ is a solution of class $C^{1,2}$ to (37), vanishing in B_T and continuous together with its derivatives. Assume A'1-A'7 holds in the sub-region $\overline{F}_T \times \{(u,p) : |u| \leq M\}$. Then it is possible to estimate $\max_{B_T}|u_x|$ by a constant M_1 depending only in m, $\max_F |u_x(0,x)|$, $\mu(M), \nu(M), P(|p|,M), \varepsilon(M)$ and K.*

Proof. We split the proof into two steps:

Step 1) Show that for functions v in certain conditions one has $|u_x||_{B_T} = c|v_x||_{B_T}$, where c is a contant depending on the given parameters.

Step 2) Construct such a function v.

Proof of step 1) Assume there exists (s_0, x_0) and a positive integer r

$$\max_{B_T}|u_x| := \max_{l=1,\cdots,m}\max_{B_T}|u_x^l| = |u_x^r(s_0,x_0)| := M_0,$$

i.e the maximum and as in the case of one-dimension, we change coordinates such that B is defined by $x_n = 0$ which implies $\max_{B_T}|u_x^r| = \max_{B_T}\frac{\partial u^r}{\partial n}$. If $s_0 = 0$, $M_0 \leq \max_F |u_x(0,x)|$.

Otherwise, if in (s_0, x_0), $\frac{\partial u^r}{\partial n} < 0$, we can set $w^r_- = u^r + \sum_{l=1}^m (u^l)^2$ and if $\frac{\partial u^r}{\partial n} > 0$, we set $w^r_+ = u^r - \sum_{l=1}^m (u^l)^2$.

We may hence assume $w_x < 0$ and for $l \in \{1, \cdots m\}$ introduce the operator

$$\mathcal{L}^l u := -a_{ij}(s,x,u)u^l_{x_ix_j} + b_i(s,x,u,u_x)u^l_{x_i} + b^l(s,x,u,u_x) + u^l_s, \tag{41}$$

where we omit the sum signs with respect to i and j.

Since u is a solution of (37), we obtain from the definition of w_- and for $l = r$ the identity

$$\mathcal{L}^r u = -a_{ij}(s,x,u)\Big(w^r_{x_ix_j} - 2\sum_{l=1}^m u^l_{x_ix_j}u^l - 2\sum_{l=1}^m u^l_{x_i}u^l_{x_j}\Big) - 2\sum_{l=1}^m u^l_t u^l$$
$$+ b_i(s,x,u,p)\Big(w^r_{x_i} - 2\sum_{l=1}^m u_{x_i}u^l\Big) + b^r(s,x,u,u_x) + w^r_t = I(s,x,u^r).$$

If we add to both sides $\sum_{l=1}^m 2u^l\mathcal{L}^l u$, we get

$$- a_{ij}(s,x,u)\Big(w^r_{x_ix_j} - 2\sum_{l=1}^m u^l_{x_i}u^l_{x_j}\Big) + b_i w^r_{x_i} + 2\sum_{l=1}^m b^l(s,x,u,u_x)u^l + w^r_t$$
$$+ b^r(s,x,u,u_x) = I(s,x,u^r) + 2\sum_{l=1}^m I(s,x,u^l)\cdot u^l. \tag{42}$$

Similarly to what was done in the proof of Proposition 2.7 we introduce v by means of a differentiable function ϕ for which $w^r = \phi(v^r)$. In particular, one has $w^r_t = \phi' v^r_t, w^r_{x_i} = \phi' v^r_{x_i}$, and $w^r_{x_ix_j} = \phi'' v^r_{x_i}v^r_{x_j} + \phi' v^r_{x_ix_j}$. By virtue of these properties, the left side of (42) can be transformed into $-a_{ij}(s,x,u)\Big(\phi'' v^r_{x_i}v^r_{x_j} + \phi' v^r_{x_ix_j} + 2\sum_{l=1}^m u^l_{x_i}u^l_{x_j}\Big) + b_i\phi' v^r_{x_i} + b^r(s,x,u,u_x) + 2\sum_{l=1}^m b^l(s,x,u,u_x)u^l + \phi' v^r_{x_t}$, which, if $\phi' > 0$, and $\phi'' < 0$, gives raise to the identity

$$v^r_t - a_{ij}(s,x,u)v^r_{x_ix_j} = \frac{1}{\phi'}a_{ij}(s,x,u)(\phi'' v^r_{x_i}v^r_{x_j} - 2\sum_{l=1}^m u^l_{x_i}u^l_{x_j}) + b_i v^r_{x_i}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\phi'}\Big(I(u^r) + 2\sum_{l=1}^m I(s,x,u^l)\cdot u^l - b^r(s,x,u,u_x) - 2\sum_{l=1}^m b^l(s,x,u,u_x)\Big)$$

whose right side can be estimated by above with the help of A'3-A'6 by

$$\frac{1}{\phi'}\Big(\phi''\mu(M){v^r_x}^2 - \nu(M)2m{u_x}^2\Big) + \mu(M)(1+|u_x|){v^r}_{x_i}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\phi'}\Big(KM + 2mM^2K + \Big[(2m+1)(\varepsilon(|u|) + P(|u_x,u|)(1+|u_x|)^2\Big]\Big).$$

We can use Cauchy's inequality and estimate once again this last term from above by

$$\frac{1}{\phi'}\Big(K(M+2mM^2) + \Big[(2m+1)(\varepsilon(|u|) + P(|u_x,u|)) - 2m\nu(M) + 2\varepsilon\Big]$$
$$\cdot(1+|u_x|)^2\Big) + \frac{\phi'}{2\varepsilon}\mu(M)^2{v^r_x}^2 + \mu(M)\frac{\phi''}{\phi'}.$$

Since $P(M,|p|) \to 0$ for $|p| \to \infty$, if we assume that $u_x(s_0,x_0) \geq k_0$, where k_0 is determined by the conditions

$$(2m+1)P(k,M) \leq \frac{\nu(M)}{2}, \qquad k \geq k_0 \tag{43}$$

we can select ε such that $\varepsilon(M)(2m+1) + 2\varepsilon \leq \frac{\nu(M)}{2}$, and obtain for suitable c depending on $K, M, \max_{|p|>0} P(M,|p|)$ and $\varepsilon(M)$,

$$v^r_t - a_{ij}(s,x,u)v^r_{x_ix_j} \leq \Big(c\phi' + \frac{\mu(M)\phi''}{\phi'}\Big)|{v^r}^2_x| + \frac{c}{\phi'}. \tag{44}$$

Now, if

$$\phi(0) = 0, \qquad \frac{\phi''}{\phi'}\nu(M) + c\phi' \leq 0 \tag{45}$$

condition (44) implies

$$v_t^r - a_{ij}(s,x,u)v^r_{x_ix_j} \leq c_1 := \frac{c}{\phi'}. \tag{46}$$

It is easy to see (45) holds for $\phi(w) = \frac{\nu(M)}{c}\log(1+w)$ with $c_1 = \frac{c^2}{\nu}(1+v^r) \leq \frac{c^2}{\nu}e^{\frac{c}{\nu}M+mM^2}$. Furthermore since by construction $w^r = \phi(v^r)$ and $w^r|_{B_T} = 0$, one has $v^r|_{B_T} = 0$. Finally,

$$\frac{\partial v^r}{\partial n}\Big|_{B_T} = \frac{1}{\phi'}\frac{\partial w^r}{\partial n}\Big|_{B_T} = \frac{c}{\nu(M)}\frac{\partial u^r}{\partial n}\Big|_{B_T}. \tag{47}$$

Thus, the function v^r_x also attains its maximum on S_T at (s_0,t_0).

Proof of step 2) Consider, as in page 591 of [4] the function $\psi(x) = qe^{-k\Phi(x)}$, where k,q are sufficiently large so that

$$-a_{ij}\psi(x)_{x_ix_j} < -c_1. \tag{48}$$

Here, $\Phi(x)$ is non-negative with derivative bounded away from zero, i.e, there exists positive r such that $|\Phi_x| \geq r$, and the maximum in F of $v^r(x,0)+\psi(x)$ and of ψ in B is attained in x_0. Assume also that x_0 is contained in the surface $\Phi(x) = 0$. We can, if needed, smoothly transform F so that it is situated on only one side of $T(S)_{x_0}$, and take $\Phi(x) = x_n - x_n^0$.

By virtue of conditions (46) and (48) one has $(v^r+\psi)_t - a_{ij}(v^r+\psi)_{x_ix_j} < 0$. This last inequality implies that the third condition of MAP cannot hold. Thus, the function $v^r+\psi$ is maximised in Γ_T. In fact, on the base of the cylinder one has $\max_F(v^r(0,x)+\psi(x)) = \psi(x_0)$ and on the lateral surface B_T $\max_{B_T}(v+\psi) = \max_{B_T}\psi = \psi(x_0)$. So, $\frac{\partial(v+\psi)}{\partial n} \geq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \leq -\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n}$ hold when $x = x_0$.

Identity (47) gives immediately an estimate of $\max_{B_T}|\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$, and the proof is complete. □

The following proposition extends an important result on the estimation of the derivative u_x in chapter VII, page 592 of [4].

Proposition 2.18. *Assume $u(s,x)$ is solution of system (37) with $u|_{B_T} = 0$. Assume $u(s,x)$ belongs to the class $C^{1,2}$, and let $\max_{F_T}|u(s,x)| = M$, given by Proposition 3.4. Assume the functions $a_{ij}(t,x,u)$, $b^l(t,x,u,\,p), b_i(t,x,u,p)$, $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$ and $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial u^k}$ are continuous in $\overline{F}_T \times \{(u,p) : |u| \leq M\}$ and that A'3-A'7 holds in the same region. Then it is possible to estimate $\max_{F_T}|u_x|$ as a constant M_1 depending only in n,m,T, $\max_F|u_x(0,x)|$, $\mu(M),\nu(M),P(|p|,M),\varepsilon(M)$ and K.*

Proof. We will first prove the result for a sub-domain F' strictly interior to F. For this we introduce a system of equations and will apply maximum principle. Let ξ be a cutting function and $v = |u|^2$, where u is a solution of (37). In the identity

$$\int_0^T\int_F \Big[\mathcal{L}u^l w \; - I(s,x,u^l)w\Big]\,dxds = 0 \tag{49}$$

we can substitute $w = e^{\lambda v}\xi^2 u^l$, and obtain

$$\int_0^T\int_F u^l_t e^{\lambda v}\xi^2 u^l \,-\, a_{ij}u^l_{x_ix_j}e^{\lambda v}\xi^2u^l \,+\, b_iu^l_{x_i}e^{\lambda v}\xi^2u^l \,+\, e^{\lambda v}\xi^2u^l[b^l \,-\, I(u^l)] \;=\; 0\;dxds.$$

Since, $\int_0^T\int_F\sum_{l=1}^m u^l_te^{\lambda v}\xi^2u^l\,dxds = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\int_0^T\int_F e^{\lambda v}(\xi\xi_t)\,dxds + \frac{1}{2\lambda}\int_F e^{\lambda v}\xi^2\,dx\Big|_0^T$, and

$$\int_{F_T} e^{\lambda v}\xi^2 a_{ij}(s,x,u)u^lu^l_{x_ix_j}\,dxds =$$
$$-\int_{F_T} e^{\lambda v}u^l_{x_i}\Big[\Big(\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial u^l}u^l_{x_j}\Big)(\xi^2u^l) + a_{ij}(\lambda v_{x_j}u\xi^2 + u_{x_j}\xi^2 + 2\xi\xi_{x_j}u)\Big]\,dxds$$

we can sum the last two identities over l and obtain

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m}\int_{F_T} e^{\lambda v}u^l_{x_i}\Big[\xi^2 u^l\Big(\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial u^m}u^m_{x_j}\Big)+a_{ij}(\lambda v_{x_j}u^l\xi^2+u^l_{x_j}\xi^2+2\xi\xi_{x_j}u^l)\Big]\,dxds$$
$$+\sum_{l=1}^{m}\int_{F_T} e^{\lambda v}u^l\xi^2(b_iu^l_{x_i}+b^l+I(u^l))\,dxds+\int_F e^{\lambda v}\xi^2\,dx\Big|_0^T=0$$

Since $v_{x_i}=2\sum_{l=1}^m u^l u^l_{x_i}$, we can select a sufficiently small ε in A'6 and apply the Cauchy inequality similarly to the first and second part of the proof of Proposition 2.9 and find a constant c in the conditions of the statement, such that

$$\int_{F_T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{m}(u^l_{x_i})^2\xi^2\,dxds\le c. \tag{50}$$

Now, if in (49) we take $w=(u^l_{x_k}\xi)_{x_k}$, where ξ and its derivatives are zero on B_T, we can replicate the reasoning in part 2 of Proposition 2.9.

First, we apply a double integration by parts to the principal term and get

$$-\int_{F_T}a_{ij}wu^l{}_{x_ix_j}\,dxds=\int_{F_T}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(a_{ij}w)\Big)u^l{}_{x_i}\,dxds=\int_{F_T}\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(u^l_{x_k}\xi)u^l_{x_i}$$
$$+a_{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_kx_j}(u^l_{x_k}\xi)u^l_{x_i}\,dxds=\int_{F_T}\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(u^l_{x_k}\xi)u^l_{x_i}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(a_{ij}u^l_{x_i})\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(u^l_{x_k}\xi)\,dxds.$$

Adding other terms, we can transform identity (49) into

$$-\int_0^T\Bigg[\int_F\frac{1}{2}((u^l_{x_k})^2)_t\xi+u^l_{x_i}\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}(u^l_{x_kx_k}\xi+u^l_{x_k}\xi_{x_k})$$
$$-\Big(a_{ij}u^l_{x_ix_k}+\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}u^l_{x_i}\Big)(\xi u^l_{x_kx_j}+\xi_{x_j}u^l_{x_k})$$
$$+(u^l_{x_kx_k}\xi+u^l_{x_k}\xi_{x_k})(b_iu^l_{x_i}+b^l-I(s,x,u^l))\,dxds\Bigg]=0.$$

Taking the symmetric and summing up over l, we obtain the following system of equations

$$\int_0^T\int_F((u_{x_k})^2)_t\xi+\Big(a_{ij}u_{x_ix_k}+\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}u_{x_i}\Big)(\xi u_{x_kx_j}+\xi_{x_j}u_{x_k})$$
$$-(u_{x_kx_k}\xi+u_{x_k}\xi_{x_k})\Big(b_iu_{x_i}+b+u_{x_i}\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}-I(s,x,u)\Big)\,dxds=0. \tag{51}$$

Let $\xi=2\zeta^2V^f$, where ζ is a cutting function for the ball $K_\rho\subset F$ not intersecting the boundary, and f is non-negative. We let as in [4], $V=\sum_{l=1}^m\sum_{i=1}^n(u^l_{x_i})^2$.

Then (51) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{f+1}\int_F V^{f+1}\zeta^2dx\Big|_0^t$$
$$+\int_0^t\int_F\Big(a_{ij}u_{x_ix_k}+\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}u_{x_i}\Big)(2\zeta^2V^fu_{x_kx_j}+(4\zeta\zeta_{x_j}V^f+\zeta^2fV^{f-1}V_{x_j})\,u_{x_k})$$
$$-(2u_{x_kx_k}\zeta^2V^f+u_{x_k}(4\zeta\zeta_{x_k}V^f+\zeta^2V^{f-1}V_{x_k}))\Big(\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}u_{x_i}+b_iu_{x_i}+b-I(u)\Big)\,dxds=0.$$

We can select $\varepsilon(M)$ sufficiently small as above and find a constant c' depending on c from estimate (50) such that the following estimate holds.

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{f+1}\int_F V^{f+1}\zeta^2 dx\Big|_0^t+\nu\int_0^t\int_F(2\zeta^2V^f u_{xx}^2+f\zeta^2V^{f-1}V_x^2)\,dxds\\&\leq\int_0^t\int_F(2u_{x_kx_k}\zeta^2V^f+u_{x_k}(4\zeta\zeta_{x_k}V^f+\zeta^2V^{f-1}V_{x_k}))\\&\Big(\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}u_{x_i}+b_iu_{x_i}+b-I(u)\Big)\,dxds=0.\end{aligned}$$

The sum of the four products arising from the multiplication of the first term of the first bracket by the four terms inside the second bracket can be estimated from above by

$$c\int_{F_T}u_{xx}^2V^f\zeta^2\,dxds.$$

while the term multiplying by u_{x_k} can be written as $u_{x_k}(4\zeta\zeta_{x_k}V^{f-1}V+\zeta^2V^{f-2}V^2u_{x_kx_j})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{f+1}\int_F V^{f+1}\zeta^2 dx\Big|_0^t+\nu\int_0^t\int_F(2\zeta^2V^f u_{xx}^2+f\zeta^2V^{f-1}V_x^2)\,dxds\\&\leq c_f\int_{F_T}(V^{f+1}\zeta_x^2+V^{f+2}\zeta^2+\zeta_x^2)\,dxds.\end{aligned}$$

The reasoning on the proof of Thm 6.1 on page 595 of [4] can be replicated here to obtain the estimate

$$\max\int_{F'}V^{f+2}dxds\leq c_{s,F'}. \tag{52}$$

We now take $w=\xi_{x_k}$ in (49), a smooth function that is zero in the vicinity of B_T, apply an integration by parts to the term with a_{ij} and obtain the identity

$$-\int_0^T\int_F\xi u_t^l+\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j}u_{x_i}\xi_{x_k}+a_{ij}\xi_{x_jx_k}u_{x_i}^l+b_iu_{x_i}^l\xi_{x_k}+\xi_{x_k}[b^l-I(u^l)]\,dxds=0. \tag{53}$$

Once again we can use integration by parts to write

$$-\int_0^T\int_F a_{ij}\xi_{x_jx_k}u_{x_i}^l=\Big[\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}u_{x_i}^l+a_{ij}u_{x_ix_k}^l\Big]\xi_{x_j}\,dxds,$$

$$-\int_0^T u_t\xi_{x_k}\,dxds=\int_{F_T}(u_{x_k})_t\xi\,dxds,$$

and re-write (53) in the form

$$\int_{F_t}(u_{k_k}^l)_t+(f_j^{k,l}+a_{ij}(s,x)u_{x_kx_i}^l))\xi_{x_j}\,dxds=0.$$

where $f_j^{k,l}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}a_{ij}(s,x,u^l)u^l{}_{x_i}+\Big(\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_r}+b_l\Big)u^l{}_{x_l}\delta_k^j+(b^l-I^l)\delta_k^j$.
Thus, the identity

$$v_t-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a_{ij}(s,x,u)v_{x_i}+f_j^{k,l})=0 \tag{54}$$

holds with $v=u_{x_k}^l$ and from the assumptions given and what has been seen above, we can then apply maximum principle to obtain

$$\max u_x^l\leq c,$$

where c is a constant depending in ν,μ,μ_1 and the distance from F' to F.
The estimates in case F' is not strictly interior follow exactly Thm 6.1 in [4].

□

Proposition 2.19. *Let u be a classic solution in $\overline{F}_T$ of system (37). Let the first derivatives of the functions a_{ij} with respect to x, u^l and the second derivatives $\frac{\partial^2 a_{ij}}{\partial u^l \partial u^m}, \frac{\partial^2 a_{ij}}{\partial u^l \partial x_k}, \frac{\partial^2 a_{ij}}{\partial u^l \partial_t}, \frac{\partial^2 a_{ij}}{\partial x_k \partial_t}$ be continuous in $\overline{F}_T \times \{(u,p) : |u| \leq M, |p| \leq M_1\}$. If these last are bounded by M_3 then we can bound $\max_{F_T} |u_t|$ by a constant depending only on K, M_1, M_2, M_3 and $\max_{\Gamma_T} |u_t|$.*

We can see Leray-Schauder Principle can be easily extended to the m-dimensional case, provided that the compatibility conditions hold for $1 \leq l \leq m$

$$\Big| - a_{ij}(0,x,\psi)\psi^l_{x_i x_j} + b_i(0,x,\psi,\psi_x)\psi^l_{x_i} + b^l(0,x,\psi,\psi_x) + \psi_s|_{\{t=0\}}\Big|_{x\in B} = 0, \tag{55}$$

hold. We add the necessary smoothness conditions.

Theorem 2.20. *Assume (A'1)-(A'3) holds. For $u \in \overline{F}_T - \Gamma_T$, let M be a constant given by the apriori estimate (maximum principle Proposition 2.17) and M_1 given by the apriori estimate (maximum principle for the derivative Proposition 2.18.)*

Furthermore, assume (A'4)-(A'7) hold on $\overline{F}_T \times \{(u,p) : |u| \leq M\}$. Let $a_{ij}(t,x,u), b^l(t,x,u,p)$, $b_i(t,x,u,p)$, $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$, and $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial u^k}$ be continuous on $\overline{F}_T \times \{(u,p) : |u| \leq M\}$.

Assume the first derivatives of the functions $a_{ij}(t,x,u), b_i(t,x,u,p)$, and $b^l(t,x,u,p)$ with respect to t, x, u, p, and the second derivatives, $\frac{\partial^2 a_{ij}}{\partial u^l \partial u^m}, \frac{\partial^2 a_{ij}}{\partial u^l \partial x_k}, \frac{\partial^2 a_{ij}}{\partial u^l \partial_t}, \frac{\partial^2 a_{ij}}{\partial x_k \partial_t}$ are continuous in $\overline{F}_T \times \{(u,p) : |u| \leq M, |p| \leq M_1\}$.

Finally, assume that condition (55) ψ, where ψ, is the boundary function of (55). Under these conditions, there is a unique solution $u(x,t)$ in the class $C_b^{1,2}$ to problem (37).

Proof. The proof of existence follows the same steps of Theorem 2.12, and the validity of the application of Leray-Schauder principle is given by the estimates we just obtained.

To prove unicity, let us assume that u' and u'' are solutions of the system (37). Define $u = u'' - u'$. We can find coefficient functions $\tilde{a}_{ij}, \tilde{b}$, and $\tilde{c}$ as in Proposition 2.6. In particular defining $v^\lambda = \lambda v' + (1-\lambda)v''$, for a function v, we obtain the identity

$$u^l_t - \tilde{a}_{ij}(s,x)u^l_{x_i x_j} + \tilde{b}_i(s,x)u^l_{x_i} + \tilde{c}^{q,l}_i(s,x)u^q_{x_i} + \tilde{d}^{q,l}(s,x)u^q = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{a}_{ij}(s,x) = a_{ij}(s,x,u'), \\ \tilde{b}_i(s,x) = b_i(s,x,u'), \\ \tilde{d}^{q,l}(s,x) = -(u'')^l_{x_i x_j} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_{ij}(s,x,u^\lambda)}{\partial u^{q,\lambda}}\, d\lambda + (u'')^l_{x_i} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial b_i(s,x,u^\lambda,u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^{q,\lambda}}\, d\lambda \\ + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial b(s,x,u^\lambda u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^{q,\lambda}}\, d\lambda, \\ \tilde{c}^{q,l}_k(s,x) = -(u'')^l_{x_i x_j} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_{ij}(s,x,u^\lambda)}{\partial u^{q,\lambda}_{x_k}}\, d\lambda + (u'')^l_{x_i} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial b_i(s,x,u^\lambda,u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^{q,\lambda}_{x_k}}\, d\lambda \\ + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial b(s,x,u^\lambda u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^{q,\lambda}_{x_k}}\, d\lambda, \\ \tilde{d}(x,t) = -(u'')^l_{x_i x_j} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a_{ij}(s,x,u^\lambda,u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^\lambda} d\lambda + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial a(x,t,u^\lambda,u^\lambda_x)}{\partial u^\lambda} d\lambda, \\ d(s,x) = \int_0^1 (D_{u^\lambda} I)(u^\lambda)(x,t) d\lambda, \end{cases}$$

which can be re-writen in the form

$$u^l_t - \tilde{a}_{ij}(s,x)u^l_{x_i x_j} + (\delta^q_l \tilde{b}_i(s,x) + \tilde{c}^{q,l}_i(s,x))u^q_{x_i} + \tilde{d}^{q,l}(s,x)u^q = 0,$$

or

$$u^l_t - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big(\tilde{a}_{ij}(s,x)u^l_{x_j}\Big) + \tilde{d}^{l,q}(s,x)u^q + \Big[\Big(\tilde{b}_i(s,x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\tilde{a}_{ji}(s,x)\Big)\delta^q_l + \tilde{c}^{l,q}_i(s,x)\Big]u^q_{x_i} = 0. \tag{56}$$

Let $A^{l,q}(s,x) = \tilde{d}^{l,q}(s,x), B^{l,q}_i(s,x) = \Big[\Big(\tilde{b}_i(s,x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\tilde{a}_{ji}(s,x)\Big)\delta^q_l + \tilde{c}^{l,q}_i(s,x)\Big]$. From (56) we obtain an equation of type (40),

$$u_t - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big[\tilde{a}_{ij}(s,x)u_{x_j}\Big] + B_i(s,x)u_{x_i} + A(s,x)u = 0.$$

Finally, we can apply Proposition 2.16 and conclude $u = 0$.

□

2.2.1. *The Cauchy Problem.* One is now concerned with the following problem in the unbounded cylinder $R_T = [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^n$

$$\begin{cases} -\sum a_{ij}(s,x,u)u_{x_ix_j} + b_i(s,x,u,u_x)u_{x_i} + b(s,x,u,u_x) - I(u(s,x)) + u_s = 0, \\ u(0,x) = h(x). \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

Theorem 2.21. *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.20 hold for each member F^i of a family of bounded cylinders $(F^i{}_T)_{\mathbb{N}}$ of the unbounded cylinder R_T converging R_T. Assume also that the apriori estimates for $\max_{F^i}|u|$ and $\max_{F^i} u_x$ do not depend on F_i for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then Cauchy problem (57) has an unique $C_b^{1,2}$ solution in R_T.*

Proof. Put $T = n$, for n positive integer and consider the following Initial Value Problem in F_n,

$$\begin{cases} -\sum a_{ij}(s,x,u)u_{x_ix_j} + b_i(s,x,u,u_x)u_{x_i} + b(s,x,u,u_x) - I(u(s,x)) \\ +u_s = 0, \\ u_{B(F_n)_T} = 0, \\ u(0,x) = h(x), x \in F_n. \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

By Theorem 2.20 the problem has a unique classical solution $u^n(s,x)$. Since $u^n(s,x)$ is uniformly bounded for any n $\in \mathbb{N}$, we can extract from $\{u^n(s,x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ that converges point-wise to a function u with bounded derivatives u_t, u_x and u_{xx}. Uniqueness is obtained in a similar way to uniqueness to boundary problems.

□

3. Fully-coupled FBSDEs with jumps

In this section we apply the result of Section 2 to prove the existence and uniqueness theorem to FBSDEs with jumps.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Consider the FBSDE

$$\begin{cases} X_t = x + \int_0^t f(s,X_s,Y_s,Z_s,\tilde{Z}(s,u))\,ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s,X_s,Y_s)\,dB_s \\ + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi(s,X_{s-},Y_{s-},u)\,\tilde{N}(ds,du) \\ Y_t = h(X_T) + \int_t^T g(s,X_s,Y_s,Z_s,\tilde{Z}(s,u))\,ds + \int_t^T Z_s\,dB_s + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \tilde{Z}(s,u)\,\tilde{N}(ds,du), \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

where B_t is a d- dimensional Brownian motion with independent components, and $\tilde{N}(t,\,\cdot\,)$ a compensated Poisson random measure, The solution $(X_s, Y_s, Z_s, \tilde{Z}(s,\cdot))$, if exists, is understood as a quadruplet of square integrable stochastic processes with values in $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^{m\times d} \times \mathcal{L}_2^\nu(\mathbf{R}^k)$ which are adapted with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ generated by B_t and by the processes $\tilde{N}(t,U)$, where U is a Borel subset of $\mathbf{R}^k$. The filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ is also assumed to be augmented with the subsets of $\mathbf{R}^k$ of zero measure.

Is is straightforward to obtain that the final value problem for a PIDE associated to (59) takes the form:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_s^1(s,x) + f(s,x,\theta(s,x),\theta_x^1(s,x)\sigma(s,x,\theta),\theta^1(s,x,\theta,\,\cdot\,))\theta_x(s,x) \\ +\frac{1}{2}tr(\theta_{xx}\sigma(s,x,\theta(s,x))\sigma(s,x,\theta(s,x))^T) + g(s,x,\theta(s,x),\theta_x^1(s,x)\sigma(s,x,\theta),\theta^1(s,x,\theta,\,\cdot\,)) \\ -\theta(s,x)\nu(\mathbf{R}^k) - \theta_x(s,x)\int_{\mathbf{R}^k}\psi(s,x,\theta(s,x),q)\nu(dq) \\ +\int_{\mathbf{R}^k}\big[\theta(s,x+\psi(s,x,\theta(s,x),q))\nu(dq) = 0, \\ \theta(T,x) = h(x). \end{cases} \tag{60}$$

where ν is the Lévy measure associated to $\tilde{N}(t,x)$, and $\theta^1(s,x,\theta,q) = \theta(s,x+\psi(s,x,\theta(s,x),q)) - \theta(s,x)$. As in section 2, to simplify the notation we make the following notational agreements: $\theta_s^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta$, $\theta_{x_k}^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\theta$, $\theta_{x_kx_l} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l}\theta$, $\theta_x = \nabla_x\theta$, and $\theta_{xx} = \nabla_x^2\theta$. Clearly, by the time change $\tilde{\theta}(t,x) = \theta(T-t,x)$, problem (60) can be transformed to a Cauchy problem. Furthermore, we define We will make use of two additional assumptions.

(D1) There exist non-negative constants b_1, b_2, b_3 such that for all $(s, x, u, z_1, z_2) \in [0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^{n\times d} \times \mathcal{L}_2^\nu(\mathbf{R}^k)$,

$$g(s,x,u,z_1,z_2)\cdot u \geq -b_1 - b_2|u|^2 - b_3\|z_2\||u|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm in $\mathcal{L}_2^\nu(\mathbf{R}^k)$.

(D2) There exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that for all $(s,x,u) \in [0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^n\times\mathbf{R}^m$,

$$||\psi(s,x,u,\cdot)||_2 \leq K_1|u|.$$

For all $1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{ij}(s,x,u) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ij}(s,x,u), \quad i,j=1,\dots,n\\
&b_i(s,x,u,v,w) = f^i(s,x,u,w\sigma(s,x,u),u-v) + \int_{\mathbf{R}^k}\psi^i(s,x,u,q)\nu(dq), \quad i=1,\dots,m\\
&c(s,x,u,v,w) = g(s,x,u,w\sigma(s,x,u),u-v) - u\nu(\mathbf{R}^k)\\
&I(s,x,u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} u(s,x+\psi(s,x,u(s,x),q))\nu(dq),
\end{aligned}$$

With these definitions, PIDE (60) takes the form of fPDE (57).

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the coefficients a_{ij}, b_i and c are of class C^1 in t and C^2 in (x,u,v,w). Further assume that D1 and D2 and (A4)' hold. Then system (60) has a unique $C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\boldsymbol{R}^n,\boldsymbol{R}^m)$ solution $\theta(s,x)$.*

Proof. It is straightforward to see that condition D1 together with D2 implies (A2)'. The smoothness of the coefficients implies that (A5)'-(A7)' holds where necessary. Hence, an application of Theorem 2.21 for a family of open balls of increasing radius to the present case yields the proof.

□

We are interested in solving the forward equation

$$X_s = x + \int_0^t \hat{f}(s,X_s)\,ds + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \hat{\sigma}(s,X_s)\,dB_s^i + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\hat{\psi}(s,X_{s-},l)\,\tilde{N}(ds,dl) \tag{61}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
\hat{f}(s,x) = f(s,x,\theta(s,x),\hat{\sigma}(s,x),z(s,x,\theta,\theta_x),w(s,x,\theta)),\\
\hat{\sigma}(s,x) = \sigma(s,x,\theta(s,x)),\\
\hat{\psi}(s,x,\cdot) = \psi(s,x,\theta(s,x),\cdot).
\end{cases} \tag{62}$$

To prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (61), we will make use of the following assumptions.

(E1) For $(s,x,l)\in[0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^n\times\mathbf{R}^d$ and $x'\in\mathbf{R}^n$ the following condition holds,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\psi(s,x,l)-\psi(s,x',l)|^2\nu(dl) \leq M_1(t)|x-x'|^2,$$

where M_0 is locally bounded and measurable.

(E2) For $(s,x,l)\in[0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^n\times\mathbf{R}^d$ the following condition holds,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\psi(s,x,l)|\nu(dl)ds \leq M_1(t)(1+|y|^2),$$

where M_1 is locally bounded and measurable

Theorem 3.2. *Assume $\hat{f},\hat{\sigma}$, and θ are of class C^1 in t, class C^2 in their spatial variables, and that (E1)-(E2) hold. Then (61) has a unique cádlág solution X_s.*

Proof. We base the proof in a fixed-point argument. Define in the space of $\mathbf{R}^n$ valued stochastic processes the function

$$\Phi(X_s) = x + \int_0^s \hat{f}(r, X_r)\, dr + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_0^s \hat{\sigma}^i(r, X_r)\, dB_r^i + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \hat{\psi}(s, X_{r-}, l)\, \tilde{N}(dr, dl),$$

and the norm $||X||^2 = E \sup_{t\in[0,s]} |X_t^2|$. We prove that with this norm Ψ is a contraction mapping. Let X and X' be two $\mathbf{R}^n$ valued processes. First, one has

(63)

$$|\Phi(X_t) - \Phi(X_t')|^2 \leqslant 3\Bigg(\Big[\int_0^t (\hat{f}(r, X_r) - \hat{f}(r, X_r'))dr \Big]^2 + \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_0^t (\hat{\sigma}(r, X_r) - \hat{\sigma}(r, X_r'))dB_r^i \Big]^2 + \Big[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\hat{\psi}(r, X_{r-}, l) - \hat{\psi}(r, X_{r-}', l))\, \tilde{N}(dr, dl) \Big]^2 \Bigg)$$

We can use Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality twice and obtain a constant C_1 not depending on s such that

$$E \sup_{t\in[0,s]} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_0^t (\hat{\sigma}(s, X_r) - \hat{\sigma}(s, X_r'))\, dB_r^i \Big]^2 \leq C_1 dE \Big[\int_0^s |\hat{\sigma}(r, X_r) - \hat{\sigma}(r, X_r')|^2 dr \Big]$$

and a constant C_2 in similar conditions to C_1 such that

$$E \sup_{t\in[0,s]} \Big[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \hat{\psi}(s, X_r, l) - \hat{\psi}(s, X_r', l)\, \tilde{N}(dr, dl) \Big]^2 \leq C_2 E \Big[\int_0^s \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\hat{\psi}(r, X_r, l) - \hat{\psi}(r, X_r', l)|^2 \mu(dl) dr \Big]$$

Finally we can use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and obtain

$$\Big[\int_0^t (\hat{f}(r, X_r) - \hat{f}(r, X_r'))\, dr \Big]^2 \leq t \int \int_0^t |\hat{f}(r, X_r) - \hat{f}(r, X_r')|^2\, dr.$$

We can now take supremums on the bounds for each of the three integrals in the right hand side of (63) and obtain a constant depending only on M_0, M_1 and the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients such that

$$E \sup_{t\in[0,s]} |\Psi(X_t) - \Psi(X_t')|^2 \leq CsE \sup_{t\in[0,s]} |X_t - X_t'|^2.$$

Using induction, the following estimate holds for any positive integer n

$$E \sup_{t\in[0,s]} |\Psi(X_t) - \Psi(X_t')|^2 \leq \frac{C^{n-1} s^n}{n!} E \sup_{t\in[0,s]} |X_t - X_t'|^2.$$

Thus, one can select n such that the constant multiplying the expectation on the righ-hand side is smaller than 1 and conclude that ψ^n is a contraction mapping and that hence it has a fixed point X.

Now, if we define $\Psi^0(X) = x$ and consider the sequence $(\Psi^n(X))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+}$ we may extract a sub-sequence that converges uniformously to X. We can use cádlág modifications on each of the members of this sub-sequence and conclude that X is cádlág.

□

We are now in conditions to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution to (59).

Theorem 3.3. *Assume the coefficients $\hat{f}, \hat{\sigma}, \psi$ of SDE (61) are of class C^1 in t, class C^2 in their spatial variables. Furthermore assume that (E1)-(E2) holds. Assume the coefficients a_{ij}, b_i and c of PIDE (60) are of class C^1 in t and C^2 in (x, u, v, w), and that D1-D2 hold. Let $\theta(s, x)$ be the solution of class $C^{1,2}$ to (60) and X_s be the solution to (61). Then $(X_s, Y_s, Z_s, \tilde{Z}(s, \cdot))$, where X_s is the solution to (60), $Y_s = \theta(s, X_s), Z_s =$*

$\sigma(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s))\theta_x(s, X_s)$ and $\tilde{Z}(s, \cdot) = \theta(s, X_s) - \theta(s, X_s + \psi(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s), \cdot))$, is the unique solution to (59).

Proof. We can apply Itô's formula to $\theta(s, X_s)$ and obtain the following BSDE:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(T, X_T) - \theta(t, X_t) = &\int_t^T \sigma(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s))\theta_x(s, X_s)\, dB_s \\ &+ \int_t^T \Big[\theta_s(s, X_s) + f(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s), Z_s, \tilde{Z}(s, l))\theta_x(s, X_s) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} tr(\theta_{xx}(s, X_s)\sigma(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s))\sigma(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s))^T)\Big]\, ds \\ &+ \int_t^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \Big[\theta(s, X_{s-} + \psi(s, X_{s-}, \theta(s, X_{s-}), l)) - \theta(s, X_{s-}) \\ &- \psi(s, X_{s-}, \theta(s, X_{s-}), l)\theta_x(s, X_{s-})\Big]\nu(dl)\Big]\, ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \Big[\theta(s, X_{s-} + \psi(s, X_{s-}, \theta(s, X_{s-}), l)) - \theta(s, X_{s-})\Big]\, \tilde{N}(ds, dl),\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

whose right-hand side is equal to $h(X_T) - Y_t$. Since θ is the unique solution to (60), comparing each integrand of (65) with the respective in the BSDE of (60) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&- g(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s), Z_s, \tilde{Z}(s, .)) = f(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s), Z_s, \tilde{Z}(s, l))\theta_x(s, X_s) + \theta_s(s, X_s) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{R}^p} \big[\theta(s, X_{s-} + \psi(s, X_{s-}, \theta(s, X_{s-}), l)) - \theta(s, X_{s-}) \\ &- \psi(s, X_{s-}, \theta(s, X_{s-}), l)\theta_x(s, X_{s-})\big]\nu(dl) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} tr(\theta_{xx}(s, x)\sigma(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s))\sigma(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s))^T)\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

with $\tilde{Z}(s, l) = \theta(s, X_s + \psi(s, X_s, \theta(s, X_s), l) - \theta(s, X_s)$, and $Z_s = \sigma(X_s, \theta(s, X_s))\theta_x(s, X_s)$. Thus $(X_s, Y_s, Z_s.\tilde{Z}(s, \cdot)$ is a solution to (59).

□

References

[1] F. Delarue. On the existence and uniqueness of solutions to fbsdes in a non-degenerate case. *Stochastic Processes and their applications*, (99):209–286, 2002.

[2] J. Schauder J. Leray. Topologie et équations fonctionnelles. *Annales scientifiques de l' É.N.S*, 51:45–78, 1934.

[3] J. Yong J.Ma, P. Protter. Solving forward backward stochastic differential equations explicitly: a four step scheme. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 98:339–359, 1994.

[4] N.N. Uralceva O. Ladyzenskaja, V. Solonnikov. *Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type*, volume 23 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 1968.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade do Porto, Rua Campo Alegre 687, 4169-007, Porto, Portugal

E-mail address: manuelsapereira@gmail.com

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 13560-970, João Pessoa - Paraíba, Brazil

E-mail address: evelina@mat.ufpb.br